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A. Introduction

Ensuring the recruitment and retention of highly qualified, strong, and stable long-term presidents is essential to the long-term success of the System and its universities. Therefore, through the authority delegated to the chancellor by the Board of Governors, this procedure/standard establishes a strategy for providing and maintaining competitive salaries for the recruitment and retention of System presidents.

B. Definitions

1. Starting Salary: The salary established by the System based on each university's student enrollment (FTE students) and complexity (Carnegie classification of master's or doctoral [R3] universities). If a candidate has served as president elsewhere or in another similar executive role, the starting salary may be established above the
median, not to exceed the 75th percentile of the annual CUPA\(^1\) presidential salary survey.

2. **Salary Range**: A range adjusted annually based on the median and 75th percentile rankings of each public peer group.

3. **Peer Groups**: The public master's peer university groups for small, medium and large universities; and the public doctoral (R3) university group with enrollment greater than 3,000 FTE. These groups will be refreshed annually before pay adjustments are determined. (See Attachment 1.)

C. **Procedure/Standard**

In order to ensure stable and long-term presidential leadership that meets or exceeds the national average length of service of AASCU\(^2\) member university presidents, annual recognition of continued performance will be reflected in annual pay adjustments. With regular satisfactory performance, a president's salary will increase from the median to the 75th percentile of the peer comparison group over approximately six years. It is expected that during the 7th year of a presidency, the president's salary will be at the 75th percentile.

D. **Attachment**

**Public University Presidential Salary Survey Results.** The most recent peer comparisons, used for the implementation of this compensation strategy. The peer comparison reflected in the attachment will be updated annually when available, typically by March each year.

E. **Implementation**

1. Effective November 1, 2017, all presidents were moved to at least the median of their peer comparison group, and those serving beyond their first year received a service adjustment equal to 1/6th of the difference between the median salary and the 75th percentile salary, as presented by the annual CUPA presidential salary survey, for every year of service. (If the current salary exceeded that standard, there was no decrease.)

2. Salary adjustments will be made annually to recognize shifts in salary ranges (see Attachment 1) and service adjustments. If such adjustments result in a shifting of the pay scale, the percentage shift in the pay scale will be added to that year's pay adjustment.

---

\(^1\) CUPA: College and University Professional Association

\(^2\) AASCU: American Association of State Colleges and Universities
### Comparable Public Masters Universities*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution size</th>
<th>Small: 2,500-5,999 FTE Enrollment</th>
<th>Medium: 6,000-10,800 FTE Enrollment</th>
<th>Large: 10,801-16,000 FTE Enrollment</th>
<th>Weighted Average of Combined Master's Groups</th>
<th>Doctoral Granting Comparator Group**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75th Percentile</td>
<td>$263,000</td>
<td>$297,899</td>
<td>$362,754</td>
<td>$308,951</td>
<td>$398,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th Percentile (median)</td>
<td>$232,310</td>
<td>$278,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$274,495</td>
<td>$348,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th Percentile</td>
<td>$226,212</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
<td>$264,975</td>
<td>$249,895</td>
<td>$321,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Institutions Reporting Valid Data: 9 21 12 42 24
Institutions in Comparison Group: 20 30 14 64 30

*Comparison groups of master’s universities based on size (FTE enrollment, budget); established by consultant in 1999.

**Doctoral comparison group has been updated to include all public R3 universities with >3,000 FTE enrollment.