# PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## SINGLE AUDIT REPORTING PACKAGE

YEAR ENDED June 30, 2017

## **SECTION I**

Financial Statements for the Year Ended June 30, 2017

## **SECTION II**

Single Audit Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2017

## PENNSYLVANIA'S STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION

























**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2017** 

## Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education Financial Statements June 30, 2017

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Balance Sheet	22
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	24
Statement of Cash Flows	25
Component Units Statement of Financial Position	27
Component Units Statement of Activities	28
Notes to Financial Statements	29
Required Supplementary Information	52
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	55



### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Governors Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units of Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education ("the State System"), a component unit of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the State System's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the discretely presented component units, which represent 82.3% percent, 85.9% percent, and 79.6% percent, respectively, of the 2017 assets, net assets, and revenues and 85.5% percent, 94.7% percent, and 86.4% percent, respectively, of the 2016 assets, net assets, and revenues of the discretely presented component units. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose reports have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the discretely presented component units, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

The financial statements of the discretely presented component units were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.



Board of Governors Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinion

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units of the State System as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other Matters

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-20, schedules of funding progress for OPEB on page 52, schedules of proportionate share of SERS/PSERS Net Pension Liability and SERS/PSERS schedules of contributions on pages 53-54 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 26, 2017, on our consideration of the State System's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the State System's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania September 26, 2017

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

(Unaudited)

As the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's (Commonwealth) public four-year higher education institutions, the 14 universities of Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education (State System) are charged with providing high-quality education at the lowest possible cost to the students. With more than 100,000 degree-seeking students enrolled, and thousands more enrolled in certificate and other career-development programs, the State System is the state's largest higher education provider. The 14 universities offer the lowest-cost four-year baccalaureate degree programs in the state, with 1,085 degree programs in more than 300 academic areas. The universities function independently, but being part of the State System enables them to share resources and benefit from economies of scale.

The State System financial statements comprise:

- · Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania
- California University of Pennsylvania
- Cheyney University of Pennsylvania
- Clarion University of Pennsylvania
- East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania
- · Edinboro University of Pennsylvania
- Indiana University of Pennsylvania
- Kutztown University of Pennsylvania
- Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania
- · Mansfield University of Pennsylvania
- · Millersville University of Pennsylvania
- Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania
- Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania
- · West Chester University of Pennsylvania
- Office of the Chancellor

The universities operate branch campuses in Oil City (Clarion), Freeport and Punxsutawney (Indiana), and Clearfield (Lock Haven), and offer classes and programs at several regional centers, including the Dixon University Center in Harrisburg and Center City in Philadelphia.

Following is an overview of the State System's financial activities for the year ended June 30, 2017, as compared to the year ended June 30, 2016.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

In fiscal year 2016/17, the State System received \$444.2 million in General Fund **appropriations** from the Commonwealth. Although this represents a 2.5% increase over the \$433.4 million received in the prior fiscal year, it is approximately \$60 million less than received in fiscal year 2007/08, just before the onset of the recession that severely impacted both the state and national economies and led to several years of funding cuts to the State System.

The State System received a \$16.1 million Realty Transfer Tax allocation from the Commonwealth's **Key '93** (Keystone Recreation, Park and Conservation) Fund, an increase of \$0.8 million, or 5.2%, from fiscal year 2015/16. With the exception of fiscal years 2010/11 and 2009/10, when no funding was received, Key '93 funds have provided a consistent revenue stream for university deferred maintenance projects since 1993.

The State System was allocated \$65 million in Commonwealth capital funding, primarily for renovation or replacement of existing educational and general (E&G) buildings. With the exception of fiscal years 2010/11 and 2009/10, when the Commonwealth's capital funding for the State System was \$130 million in each year, the State System has received \$65 million annually in Commonwealth capital funding since fiscal year 2000/01. Annual funding is expected to remain at \$65 million in fiscal year 2017/18. The capital appropriations reflected in these statements represent Kev '93 funds, as well as furnishings and equipment for Commonwealth-funded construction projects, and total \$16.1 million and \$15.7 million in fiscal years 2016/17 and 2015/16, respectively.

To reward the universities for demonstrated success and continued improvement in student achievement, university excellence, and operational efficiency, the State System's Board of Governors (Board) allocated \$39.1 million of the general fund appropriation for **performance funding** in fiscal year 2016/17, or 1.5% more than the \$38.5 million allocated in fiscal year 2015/16. Performance funding allocated in fiscal year 2014/15 was \$37.4 million.

Fall 2016 student headcount was 104,779, a decrease of 2,347 students, or 2.2%, from fall 2015. This is the sixth year in a row that the State System has experienced an **enrollment decline**. Fall 2011 was the first year enrollment had declined since 1999, following 14 years of record growth.

	Fall	% Decrease
Year	Enrollment	from Prior Year
2016	104,779	2.2%
2015	107,126	2.3%
2014	109,606	2.2%
2013	112,028	2.1%
2012	114,471	3.2%
2011	118,224	1.0%

Of the 104,779 **students** enrolled in fall 2016, 83% (86,905) were full-time and 17% (17,874) were part-time students; 86% (89,802) were undergraduate and 14% (14,977) were graduate students. Of the undergraduates, 90% were traditional students and 10% were non-traditional students (25 years of age or older). These percentages are approximately the same as in fall 2015 and fall 2014.

In academic year 2015/16, the State System awarded 24,985 **degrees**, comprising 19,397 bachelor's degrees, 5,075 master's degrees, 168 doctoral degrees, and 345 associate's degrees. This is a 2% decrease from the 25,556 degrees awarded in academic year 2014/15 and 25,516 degrees awarded in academic year 2013/14.

Continuing efforts by the universities to contain their costs, combined with a second straight year of increased investment by the Commonwealth, helped enable the Board to approve the **smallest percentage tuition increase in more than a decade**. The \$89-per-semester increase approved for the 2016/17 academic year set the base tuition rate for most full-time Pennsylvania residents—who comprise about 90% of all State System students—at \$3,619 per semester, or \$7,238 for the full year.

Even with this increase, the State System universities will remain the lowest-cost option among all four-year colleges and universities in the state. The 2016/17 increase of 2.5% compares to an increase of 3.5%, or \$240, in fiscal year 2015/16.

Nonresident undergraduate tuition also increased by 2.5% and ranged from \$10,858 to \$18,096 for the 2016/17 academic year, depending on a variety of factors, including the university and program in which a student enrolled, academic preparation, and state of residency. The resident graduate tuition rate was \$483 per credit, an increase of \$13. The nonresident graduate tuition rate increased by \$20 per credit to \$725.

The Board approved a \$12 increase to the **technology tuition fee** (\$448 annually) for full-time resident undergraduate students and an \$18 increase (\$682 annually) for full-time nonresident undergraduate students. All funds raised by the technology tuition fee are used to directly benefit student learning. Universities have used the funds to install new computer labs and design multimedia classrooms, among other projects.

Mandatory student fees set by the universities increased, on average, by 6.9%. These increases, combined with the increase in tuition and reduction in enrollment, resulted in tuition and mandatory fee revenue (before discounts) of \$1.11 billion, a 1.8% increase over fiscal year 2015/16. The average increase in mandatory student fees in fiscal year 2015/16 was 4.4% over the prior year.

Auxiliary revenue from **room and board fees** (excluding privatized housing revenue recorded by affiliates) was \$274.0 million in fiscal year 2016/17, an increase of \$8.5 million, or 3.2%, over fiscal year 2015/16. This compares to a fiscal year 2015/16 increase of 0.1%, or \$0.2 million, in room and board revenues over the prior fiscal year. The increases can be attributed in part to the additional room fees that have resulted from the acquisition of student housing from affiliated organizations for some universities.

The State System's typical **price of attendance** (tuition, mandatory fees, room, and board) of \$20,757 in 2016/17 was \$667 above the average among all four-year public universities in the United States and \$1,493 below the average in the Middle States region (Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey,

New York, Pennsylvania, and Washington, D.C.), according to the latest College Board survey.

The State System purchased \$382.0 million in **capital assets** in fiscal year 2016/17, which included \$349.7 million to build or improve academic and auxiliary facilities across all 14 universities.

During fiscal year 2016/17, the State System issued Series AT bonds, totaling \$298.1 million, to undertake various **capital projects** at the universities, comprising:

- Acquisition of affiliate-owned student housing of \$107.8 million at Edinboro, \$79.2 million at Mansfield, and \$35.7 million at Lock Haven.
- \$2.3 million for renovations of the student housing acquired from Edinboro's affiliate.
- \$41.4 million for new student housing and \$3.6 million for a steam plant upgrade at Bloomsburg.
- \$19.1 million for dining hall additions and renovations at Indiana.
- \$7.3 million to renovate an academic building and \$1.7 million for technology infrastructure at Slippery Rock.

Bond principal of \$67.8 million and bond interest of \$47.9 million were paid, bringing the total outstanding **bond debt** to \$1.07 billion at June 30, 2017.

The State System's outstanding bonds are assigned an Aa3 rating from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and an AA- rating from Fitch Ratings. In August 2017, both Moody's and Fitch revised their outlooks for the ratings **from stable to negative**.

## THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Balance Sheet

The Balance Sheet reports the balances of the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net position of the State System as of the end of the fiscal year.

 Assets include cash; investments reported at market value; the value of outstanding receivables due from students and other parties; and land, buildings, and equipment reported at cost, less accumulated depreciation.

- Deferred Outflows of Resources, which is defined as a consumption of net position that applies to future periods, reports the deferred loss on bond defeasance and certain items associated with the net pension liability and annual pension expense.
- Liabilities include payments due to vendors, employees, and students; revenues received but not yet earned; the balance of bonds payable; and amounts estimated to be due for items such as workers' compensation (the State System is self-insured), compensated absences (the value of sick and annual leave earned by employees), pension benefits, and other postretirement benefits (health and tuition benefits expected to be paid to certain current and future retirees).
- Deferred Inflows of Resources, which is defined as an acquisition of net position that applies to future periods, reports the deferred gain on bond defeasance and certain items associated with the net pension liability and annual pension expense.
- Net Position, informally referred to as Net Assets or Fund Balance (as it was previously called), is the sum of Assets plus Deferred Outflows of Resources less Liabilities less Deferred Inflows of Resources.

## Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position reports the revenues earned and the expenses incurred during the fiscal year. The result is reported as an increase or decrease in net position.

In accordance with GASB requirements, the State System has classified revenues and expenses as either operating or nonoperating. GASB has determined that all public colleges' and universities' state appropriations are nonoperating revenues. In addition, GASB requires classification of gifts, Pell grants, investment income and expenses, unrealized gains and losses on investments, interest expense, and losses on disposals of assets as nonoperating. The State System classifies all of its remaining activities as operating.

#### Statement of Cash Flows

The Statement of Cash Flows provides information about the State System's cash receipts and cash payments. It can be used to determine the State

System's ability to generate future net cash flows and meet its obligations as they come due and its need for external financing.

#### **Net Position**

In accordance with GASB requirements, the State System reports three components of net position:

- Net investment in capital assets, informally referred to as NIP (from its former name, Net Investment in Plant), is the cost of land, buildings, improvements, equipment, furnishings, and library books, net of accumulated depreciation, less any associated debt (primarily bonds payable). This balance is not available for the State System's use in ongoing operations, since the underlying assets would have to be sold in order to use the balance to pay current or long-term obligations. The Commonwealth prohibits the State System from selling university land and buildings without prior approval.
- Restricted net position represents the portion of balances of funds received from the Commonwealth, donors, or grantors who have placed restrictions on the purpose for which the funds must be spent. Nonexpendable restricted net position represents the corpus of endowments and similar arrangements in which only the associated investment income can be spent. Expendable restricted net position represents the portion of restricted funds that is available for expenditure as long as any external purpose and time restrictions are met.
- Unrestricted net position includes funds that the Board or university presidents have designated for specific purposes, auxiliary funds, and all other funds not appropriately classified as restricted or invested in capital assets.

Unrestricted net position includes three unfunded liabilities. Because these liabilities are expected to be realized gradually over future years, and because of their size, the universities fund them only as they become due.

o The liability for compensated absences represents the dollar value of annual and sick leave that employees have earned and could potentially receive in the form of cash payouts upon retirement or other termination. The dollar value is based on an employee's current salary. All full-time employees are eligible to be paid, upon termination, for the dollar value of the number of days of unused annual, personal, and holiday leave that they have accumulated, with a maximum annual leave accumulation of 45 days; the associated liability is calculated based on the total number of days that employees have accumulated at June 30. Sick leave payouts, however, are subject to vesting requirements, and the dollar value of accumulated unused sick leave is paid only to those employees who meet certain service and/or age requirements and is capped at various levels depending upon the number of days accumulated. The associated liability is estimated based on a calculation of historical leave payouts for terminated employees compared to the dollar value of sick days that the terminated employees had accumulated, applied to employees' current leave balances.

As employees earn and accumulate leave, the compensated absences liability is increased; as employees use leave, and as terminated employees receive payouts, the liability is decreased. The compensated absences liability increased by \$4.1 million to \$119.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2017, compared to a \$0.8 million increase from the prior year for the year ended June 30, 2016. Universities fund this liability only as cash payouts are made to employees for annual and vested sick leave balances upon termination or retirement.

The liability for **other postretirement benefits** represents the estimated future healthcare costs for current and future retirees who participate in the State System healthcare plan. The annual increase in the liability is the amount that current employees earn each fiscal year as a retiree healthcare benefit, actuarially calculated based on years of service, age, and estimates of future service and employee longevity. The liability also increases as healthcare costs increase. The liability decreases when required contributions by retirees are increased, when the number of eligible employees decreases, and when retirees die. The liability increased by \$38.5 million to \$1.15 billion for the year ended June 30, 2017, compared to an increase of \$47.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2016. Universities fund this liability on a "pay-as-you-go" basis; that is, they make

- biweekly contributions to fund the actual claims incurred by retirees during the year.
- The net pension liability is the State System's allocated share of the difference between the Commonwealth's defined benefit pension obligations and the funding set aside in a qualified trust to pay the future benefits that are promised to current employees, retirees, and their beneficiaries. The annual increase in the liability is the amount that current employees earn each fiscal year as a pension benefit, actuarially calculated based on years of service, age, and estimates of future service and employee longevity. The liability decreases when funding of the qualified trust increases and when employees or retirees leave the pension plans. The liability increased by \$83.9 million to

\$1.02 billion for the year ended June 30, 2017, compared to an increase of \$139.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2016. Like the postretirement benefits liability, universities fund this liability on a "pay-as-you-go" basis with annual contractually required contributions to the State Employees Retirement System (SERS) and the Public School Employees Retirement System (PSERS).

Overall, net position decreased by \$126.9 million in fiscal year 2016/17. This compares to a decrease from the prior year of \$63.4 million in fiscal year 2015/16, and a decrease of \$797.5 million in fiscal year 2014/15 (which included a \$720.9 million net pension liability restatement) over fiscal year 2013/14.

Following is a summary of the balance sheet at June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

(in millions)

#### **Balance Sheet**

	Baiar	ice Sneet				
	June 30, 2017	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2016	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2015	Change from Prior Year
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$1,365.7	(0.8%)	\$1,376.2	3.2%	\$1,333.9	(2.1%)
Capital assets, net	1,899.4	14.9%	1,653.3	4.0%	1,589.2	(1.7%)
Other assets and deferred outflows	424.8	12.8%	376.6	42.7%	263.9	42.8%
Total assets and deferred outflows	\$3,689.9	8.3%	\$3,406.1	6.9%	\$3,187.0	0.7%
Liabilities						
Workers' compensation	\$20.4	(7.7%)	\$22.1	(2.2%)	\$22.6	4.1%
Compensated absences	119.5	3.6%	115.4	0.7%	114.6	(0.1%)
Postretirement benefits	1,145.1	3.5%	1,106.6	4.5%	1,058.7	5.1%
Net pension liability	1,022.5	8.9%	938.6	17.5%	798.7	N/A
Bonds payable	1,072.9	27.3%	842.6	5.3%	800.5	(6.9%)
Other liabilities and deferred inflows	558.4	11.1%	502.8	11.6%	450.4	6.7%
Total liabilities and deferred inflows	3,938.8	11.6%	3,528.1	8.7%	3,245.5	33.8%
Net Position						
Net investment in capital assets	722.4	1.8%	709.3	1.3%	700.3	2.3%
Restricted	121.3	6.0%	114.4	16.6%	98.1	(5.0%)
Unrestricted	(1,092.6)	15.5%	(945.7)	(10.4%)	(856.9)	(1,659.5%)
Total net position	(248.9)	104.0%	(122.0)	(108.5%)	(58.5)	(107.9%)
Total liabilities, deferred inflows, and net position	\$3,689.9	8.3%	\$3,406.1	6.9%	\$3,187.0	0.7%

#### **Revenues and Gains**

Following is a summary of revenues and gains for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

(in millions)

#### **Revenues and Gains**

	Change Chan				ange Chan		
	June 30, 2017	from Prior Year	June 30, 2016	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2015	Change from Prior Year	
Operating revenues							
Tuition and fees, net	\$855.7	2.0%	\$839.0	2.7%	\$816.6	0.9%	
Grants and contracts	158.1	1.7%	155.5	3.2%	150.7	(6.3%)	
Auxiliary enterprises, net	327.8	1.4%	323.4	(0.2%)	324.0	(2.2%)	
Other	59.8	29.7%	46.1	(12.4%)	52.6	(1.1%)	
Total operating revenues	1,401.4	2.7%	1,364.0	1.5%	1,343.9	(0.8%)	
Nonoperating revenues and gains							
State appropriations	460.3	2.5%	449.1	5.3%	426.4	(0.2%)	
Investment income, net	35.0	45.8%	24.0	(22.6%)	31.0	18.3%	
Unrealized gain on investments	-	(100.0%)	2.6	-	-	-	
Gifts, nonoperating grants, and other	172.7	(7.5%)	186.7	6.9%	174.6	4.3%	
Total nonoperating revenues and gains	668.0	0.8%	662.4	4.8%	632.0	1.8%	
Total revenues and gains	\$2,069.4	2.1%	\$2,026.4	2.6%	\$1,975.9	-	

Overall, fiscal year 2016/17 **operating revenues** increased by 2.7% from the prior fiscal year. Nonoperating revenues increased by 0.8%, for an overall increase in revenues and gains of 2.1%.

Tuition and fees are shown net of scholarship discounts and allowances and bad debt expense. In accordance with a formula prescribed by the National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), the State System allocates the cost of scholarships, waivers, and other student financial aid between scholarship discounts and allowances and student aid expense. Scholarships and waivers of room and board fees are reported in Auxiliary enterprises. The cost of tuition waivers granted to employees is reported as employees' benefits expense. Bad debt expense is an estimate of the amount owed by students that will not be collected.

Despite the decline in enrollment, overall net tuition and fee revenue increased by \$16.7 million, or 2.0%, over fiscal year 2015/16, which can be attributed to the increase in tuition and fee rates and the flexible tuition pricing programs at several universities.

**Auxiliary enterprises** revenue, which includes food service sales, housing fees, and fees for the operation, maintenance, debt service, and renewal of student union and recreation centers, increased

by \$4.4 million from fiscal year 2015/16. This is due primarily to a \$9.3 million, or 6.9%, increase in housing fees, which is due primarily to the additional revenue that some universities are recording as they acquire housing from their affiliates. Without this increase in housing revenue, auxiliary revenue would have decreased by \$4.8 million.

State appropriations include cash as well as capital appropriations that are received in the form of noncash furnishings and equipment for the Commonwealth-funded construction projects. The fiscal year 2016/17 general cash appropriation was \$444.2 million, a \$10.8 million increase over fiscal year 2015/16, while capital appropriations were \$16.1 million, a \$0.4 million increase over fiscal year 2015/16.

Investment income (net of related investment expenses) for fiscal year 2016/17 was \$35.0 million. This represents an increase of \$11.0 million from the prior year. The increase is due mostly to rising interest rates during the fiscal year. Rates moved from a low of 0.97% in fiscal year 2015/16 to a high of 1.49% during fiscal year 2016/17. The overall average change in rates from fiscal year 2015/16 to fiscal year 2016/17 was an increase of 16 basis points, or 14.5%. Overall, investment balances remained relatively flat to slightly increasing during the period.

### **Expenses and Losses**

Following is a summary of expenses and losses for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

(in millions)

<b>Expenses</b>	and	Losses
-----------------	-----	--------

		=00000				
	June 30, 2017	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2016	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2015	Change from Prior Year
Operating expenses						
Instruction	\$779.8	4.1%	\$749.3	1.3%	\$739.6	2.6%
Research	6.4	1.6%	6.3	8.6%	5.8	13.7%
Public service	42.5	7.9%	39.4	5.1%	37.5	-
Academic support	185.4	0.8%	184.0	2.7%	179.1	4.2%
Student services	189.6	2.7%	184.7	2.0%	181.0	2.5%
Institutional support	253.0	(1.7%)	257.2	3.5%	248.5	(5.9%)
Operations and maintenance of plant	159.3	(0.4%)	159.9	4.2%	153.5	0.8%
Depreciation	132.3	8.7%	121.7	1.7%	119.7	(0.4%)
Student aid	76.4	(3.4%)	79.1	8.5%	72.9	(3.6%)
Auxiliary enterprises	259.0	2.0%	253.8	(0.7%)	255.7	1.5%
Total operating expenses	2,083.7	2.4%	2,035.4	2.1%	1,993.3	0.9%
Other expenses and losses						
Interest expense on capital asset-related debt	38.2	12.7%	33.9	(7.4%)	36.6	(0.8%)
Loss on disposal/acquisition of assets	54.8	167.3%	20.5	113.5%	9.6	20.7%
Unrealized loss on investment	19.7	-	-	-	13.1	309.4%
Total other expenses and losses	112.7	107.2%	54.4	(8.3%)	59.3	13.6%
Total expenses and losses	\$2,196.4	5.1%	\$2,089.8	1.8%	\$2,052.6	1.2%

Universities spent \$779.8 million on **instruction**, or 37.4% of total operating expenses, in fiscal year 2016/17. This represents an increase of \$30.5 million, or 4.1%, over fiscal year 2015/16.

Financial aid to students in the form of grants, waivers, and scholarships was \$299.9 million in fiscal year 2016/17. In accordance with a formula prescribed by the National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), in fiscal year 2016/17 the State System reported \$223.0 million of financial aid as *discounts*, which are netted against tuition and fees, and \$76.9 million as *student aid*, which is reported as an expense. Of these amounts, \$1.6 million of discounts and \$0.5 million of student aid expense were reported in Auxiliary enterprises.

Including the financial aid that is recorded as a discount against tuition and fees, financial aid increased in fiscal year 2016/17 by \$0.5 million from the previous year. Although Federal Pell grants decreased by \$4.4 million, and PHEAA grants decreased by \$1.6 million over fiscal year 2015/16, universities awarded an additional \$2.7 million in restricted and unrestricted scholarships and granted an additional \$5.5 million in tuition, housing, and

dining waivers. Following is the breakdown of financial aid in fiscal years 2016/17 and 2015/16.

(in millions)

#### Student Financial Aid

Student Financial Aid					
	2016/17	2015/16			
Federal Pell grants	\$134.2	\$138.6			
Other federal aid	5.7	5.2			
State financial aid including					
PHEAA grants	91.4	93.0			
Local government financial aid	0.4	2.6			
Scholarships from endowments and restricted gifts and grants Unrestricted scholarships and	18.1	17.0			
fellowships	11.6	10.0			
Tuition and fee waivers	36.6	31.4			
Housing and dining waivers	1.9	1.6			
Total	\$299.9	\$299.4			
		•			

Interest expense on capital asset-related debt was \$38.2 million, an increase of \$4.3 million over fiscal year 2015/16. The increase is attributed primarily to the additional debt incurred in fiscal year 2016/17 by Edinboro, Lock Haven, and Mansfield universities for the acquisition of student housing from their affiliates. Offsetting this increase is the

State System's annual practice of refunding existing debt with debt that carries lower interest rates. Also offsetting debt increases is the faster amortization of the State System's older, more expensive, debt, as a higher ratio of debt service is applied to principal rather than interest in the later years of the payment schedules.

Salaries and benefits totaled \$1.4 billion in fiscal year 2016/17. Salary and wage expenses increased by \$26.1 million, or 3.0%, while benefits expenses increased by \$11.9 million, or 2.3%, over fiscal year 2015/16, for an overall increase of \$38.0 million. Following is a summary of salaries, wages, and benefits expenses for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

(in millions)

#### Salaries, Wages, and Benefits

	June 30, 2017	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2016	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2015	Change from Prior Year
Salaries and wages	\$906.5	3.0%	\$880.4	(1.3%)	\$892.0	1.4%
Benefits						
Employee healthcare	122.7	(4.5%)	128.5	5.4%	121.9	3.4%
Health & Welfare Fund	8.5	(1.2%)	8.6	2.4%	8.4	(5.6%)
Postretirement healthcare	109.6	(12.3%)	125.0	4.8%	119.3	(18.4%)
SERS	130.6	25.6%	104.0	24.6%	83.5	92.0%
PSERS	20.2	26.3%	16.0	138.8%	6.7	71.8%
Alternative Retirement Plan (ARP)	45.3	3.2%	43.9	(1.6%)	44.6	1.6%
Other benefits	98.4	1.2%	97.2	(2.5%)	99.7	5.4%
Total benefits	535.3	2.3%	523.2	8.1%	484.1	5.5%
Total salaries, wages, and benefits	\$1,441.8	2.7%	\$1,403.6	2.0%	\$1,376.1	2.8%

The \$26.1 million increase in salaries and wages in fiscal year 2016/17 primarily is the result of the salary increases granted by the Board: \$18.9 million represents the increases agreed to in the Association of Pennsylvania State College and University Faculties (APSCUF) contract, with \$6.5 million of this amount going to fiscal year 2015/16 retroactive payments; \$2.7 million represents increases agreed to in the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) contract; \$1.7 million represents increases granted to nonrepresented employees; \$1.1 million represents increases granted to all other bargaining units; and the remaining \$1.7 million can be attributed to new hires and promotions.

Total salaried complement, which includes both permanent and temporary employees but excludes wage employees, increased slightly in fall 2016 to 12,193, compared to 12,180 in fall 2015. The universities have reduced staff by 6.4%, or 834 employees, since fall 2008, when total salaried complement was 13,027.

The employer share of employee healthcare costs, including the Health & Welfare Fund, decreased 4.3% over fiscal year 2015/16, for a total decrease of \$5.9 million. This follows consecutive increases of 5.2% (\$6.8 million) and 2.8% (\$3.5 million) in fiscal years 2015/16 and 2014/15, respectively, over the prior fiscal years. The fiscal year 2016/17 decrease is the result of design changes to the healthcare plan administered by the State System (System Plan) that increased employees' share of expenses through higher employee premium contributions, copays, deductibles, and coinsurance. The required employer contribution rate to the Commonwealth's Pennsylvania Employee Benefits Trust Fund (PEBTF), which administers the healthcare plan for employees in the AFSCME and State College and University Professional Association (SCUPA) bargaining units, has remained the same since fiscal year 2014/15.

The **postretirement healthcare** expense comprises:

- Premium payments to the Retired Employees Health Program (REHP), which is administered by the PEBTF, primarily for employees in the AFSCME bargaining unit.
- Claims paid to the third-party vendor for the plan administered by the System Plan, primarily for faculty, coaches and athletic staff, nonrepresented employees, and employees in the SCUPA bargaining unit.
- The actuarially calculated expense for the System Plan, in excess of claims paid, to recognize the current year increase in the longterm liability for future benefits expected to be paid from the System Plan for current and future retirees.

(in millions)

#### **Postretirement Healthcare**

	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
REHP premiums paid	\$31.9	\$37.0	\$30.7
System Plan claims paid	39.2	40.1	36.9
System Plan actuarially calculated expense in			
excess of claims paid	38.5	47.9	51.7
Total	\$109.6	\$125.0	\$119.3

The annual **REHP premiums** decreased 13.8%, or \$5.1 million, in fiscal year 2016/17 from 2015/16, following an increase of 20.5%, or \$6.3 million, in fiscal year 2015/16 over 2014/15. The contribution rate is set at the discretion of the Commonwealth. The State System currently does not record a liability for future benefits related to the REHP plan, but will be required to do so beginning in fiscal year 2017/18 in accordance with GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. The amount of the liability is not known but is expected to be significant.

The monthly cash payments made to a third-party health insurance vendor for the **System Plan** are based on estimates of retiree healthcare claims that are agreed to by the State System and the third-party vendor and are adjusted in the following year for the actual claims paid on behalf of retirees. Despite the increasing population of retirees and rising healthcare costs, the State System has seen flat or declining healthcare spending from retirees for the last several years.

Following is a schedule of postretirement medical benefits annual payments, expense, and liability for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, for the System Plan.

(in millions)

#### System Plan Postretirement Medical Benefits (referred to as Other Postemployment Benefits or OPEB)

	June 30, 2017	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2016	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2015	Change from Prior Year
Claims paid ("pay-as-you-go")	\$39.2	(2.2%)	\$40.1	8.7%	\$36.9	(16.6%)
Annual OPEB cost (actuarial expense reported)	\$77.7	(11.7%)	\$88.0	(0.7%)	\$88.5	(24.7%)
Net OPEB obligation (liability reported on the balance sheet)	\$1,145.1	3.5%	\$1,106.6	4.5%	\$1,058.7	5.1%
Accrued actuarial liability (total liability as estimated by actuaries)	\$1,106.8	(7.4%)	\$1,194.8	-	\$1,194.8	(18.9%)

Employer contributions to SERS, a defined benefits pension plan, increased 21.4% over fiscal year 2015/16, for a total increase of \$14.8 million. This follows consecutive increases of 20.6% (\$11.8 million) and 31.4% (\$13.7 million) in fiscal years 2015/16 and

2014/15, respectively, over the prior fiscal years. The increases were instituted by SERS to fund its net pension liability, which was \$19.3 billion at December 31, 2016, a \$1.1 billion increase from the \$18.2 billion net pension liability reported at

- December 31, 2015. Approximately 40% of the State System's employees are enrolled in SERS.
- Employer contributions to PSERS, a defined benefits pension plan, increased 18.3% over fiscal year 2015/16, for a total increase of \$1.1 million. This follows consecutive increases of 15.4% (\$0.8 million) and 32.9% (\$1.3 million) in fiscal years 2015/16 and 2014/15, respectively, over the prior fiscal years. The increases were instituted by PSERS to fund its net pension liability, which was \$49.6 billion at June 30, 2016, up from the \$43.3 billion net pension liability reported at June 30, 2015. Since approximately only 7% of the State System's employees are enrolled in PSERS, the impact of contribution rate increases from PSERS is far less than the impact from SERS. The Commonwealth makes annual pension contributions to PSERS on behalf of State System employees at the same annual rate.
- Employer contributions to the ARP (Alternative Retirement Plan), a defined contribution plan, increased 3.2% over fiscal year 2015/16, for a total increase of \$1.4 million. This follows a decrease of 1.6% (\$0.7 million) and an increase of 1.7% (\$0.7 million) in fiscal years 2014/15 and 2013/14, respectively, over the prior fiscal years. The changes in annual contributions are attributed to fluctuating employee participation and salary increases. The employer contribution rate (9.29%) has been the same since the plan's inception. Since the ARP is a defined contribution plan, the State System has no liabilities related to future benefits. Approximately 49% of the State System's employees are enrolled in the ARP.

Following is a summary of pension benefits annual contributions, expenses, and liability for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

(in millions)

#### **Pension Benefits**

	June 30, 2017	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2016	Change from Prior Year	June 30, 2015	Change from Prior Year
Employer contributions						
SERS	\$83.8	21.4%	\$69.0	20.6%	\$57.2	31.4%
PSERS	\$7.1	18.3%	\$6.0	15.4%	\$5.2	32.9%
ARP	\$45.3	3.2%	\$43.9	(1.6%)	\$44.6	1.7%
Pension expense						
SERS	\$130.6	25.6%	\$104.0	24.6%	\$83.5	92.0%
PSERS	\$20.2	26.3%	\$16.0	138.8%	\$6.7	71.8%
ARP	\$45.3	3.2%	\$43.9	(1.6%)	\$44.6	1.7%
Net pension liability						
SERS	\$931.6	8.5%	\$858.4	17.9%	\$728.1	7.4%
PSERS	\$90.8	13.2%	\$80.2	13.4%	\$70.7	(0.4%)
ARP	\$0.0	-	\$0.0	-	\$0.0	` -

• The cost for all other employee benefits, such as Social Security and workers' compensation, increased by a total of \$1.2 million, or 1.2% more than fiscal year 2015/16, compared to a fiscal year 2015/16 decrease of \$2.5 million, or 2.5%, over fiscal year 2014/15. The fiscal year 2016/17 increase can be attributed to the increase in salaries and wages, since these benefits are based on a percentage of salaries and wages.

## **FUTURE ECONOMIC FACTORS**

The **Commonwealth** ended fiscal year 2016/17 with General Fund collections that were \$1.1 billion, or 3.4%, lower than estimated. The Commonwealth budget, which is highly dependent on a growing economy, faces the challenges of continually increasing pension obligations, wages and benefits, debt service, and medical and entitlement costs. These mandated cost drivers are expected to consume virtually all revenue growth if there is no change in current revenue sources.

Although Pennsylvania lawmakers approved a \$32 billion spending plan in June 2017, they have not yet agreed on a tax and revenue package needed to fund it, and the fiscal year 2017/18 budget remains unbalanced. As a result, in August 2017, the Commonwealth Treasury Department released a \$750 million loan from its Short-Term Investment Pool to the General Fund. A press release issued by Treasury stated that, "Without legislative action on a responsible revenue package, the total borrowing needs of the General Fund could approach \$3 billion over the next eight months, beginning in early September [2017]. This amount is beyond the prudent lending capacity of Treasury's investment pool. Further, there is no historical precedent for Treasury lending to the General Fund without an approved revenue package in place." The press release went on to say that, "Without sufficient money in the General Fund, the Commonwealth will be forced to force certain expenditures beyond traditional government operations," including funds for the state-related universities, discretionary grants, and other deferrable expenses. In July 2017, Standard & Poor's placed the Commonwealth's credit rating on "negative watch," and warned that if "legislators enact a budget that relies on what we view as optimistic assumptions or one-time sources, we would likely lower the rating." A downgrade to the Commonwealth's credit rating would increase the cost of borrowing and limit future borrowing options.

## State System Strategic Review

In July 2017, the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS) delivered its final report on the strategic review of the State System, which was commissioned by then-Chancellor Frank T. Brogan and the Board. The report was the result of a widely inclusive process that included more than 120 meetings across the state, including sessions held on each of the State System campuses with students, faculty, staff, alumni, business and community leaders, and elected officials. In addition, more than 800 individuals offered comments and suggestions through the website established for the project. NCHEMS also analyzed student, program, and financial data, as well as regional and national trends in higher education and workforce demand.

NCHEMS presented the following recommendations for the future of the State System to the public and State Systems officials in the July 2017 Board meeting:

- The State System and the Office of the Chancellor should not be eliminated.
- No university should be closed.
- No universities should be merged.
- No university should be separated from the State System.
- There should be no attempt to undermine the collective bargaining process.
- The State System's mission to serve students and communities in every region with highquality, affordable postsecondary opportunities for working-class families should be retained.
- Act 188 should be amended to replace the current Board of Governors with a Board of Regents made up of lay members, and the authority of the Board, the Chancellor, the institutional Presidents, and the Council of Trustees should be clarified.
- The Board and Office of the Chancellor should change its emphasis from compliance to institutional support and policy leadership.
- Universities with severe sustainability challenges should retain their unique character and core programs but be reconfigured, leveraging System-wide and regional resources.
- The State System should adopt a strategic financing model that fits the varied circumstances of the universities and incentivizes collaboration over competition.
- Future collective bargaining agreements should promote nimbleness and be financially feasible.
- The role of faculty in advising on matters of academic policy should be distinct from its role in collective bargaining.
- The legislature should consider permitting early retirement incentives to help align staffing levels with enrollment.
- A statewide entity should be established with responsibility and authority for all of Pennsylvania's postsecondary education institutions.

#### Cash Flow

The universities record their share of the State System pooled deposits and investments account at cost; that is, without regard to the fair value of the underlying investments. The associated markup or markdown for the fair value, as well as the annual unrealized gains or losses on investments, are recorded only at the consolidated level. In fiscal year 2016/17, the unrealized loss on the State System pooled deposits and investments account was \$26.1 million, while the accumulated fair value markup at June 30, 2017, was \$92.4 million. This compares to an unrealized gain on investments in

fiscal year 2015/16 of \$1.8 million and an accumulated fair value markup of \$118.5 million at June 30, 2016. The fiscal year 2016/17 unrealized loss was a result of the rise in interest rates during the year, which caused the prices of fixed-income securities to decline from the prior fiscal year. It is important to note that the strategy of the investment portfolio is to buy quality investments and hold to maturity; therefore, unrealized gains and losses will not be realized.

The combination of factors such as years of stagnant appropriations, declining enrollment, low interest rates, increasing personnel costs, and high long-term debt continues to cause cash flow pressures for some State System universities, especially during the summer months between spring and fall tuition collections. Although cash and investments that are attributed to Restricted and Auxiliary activities must be kept separate from the cash and investments that result from E&G operations, some universities have temporarily borrowed from Auxiliary funds to meet payroll cash requirements, even though their Auxiliary operations also have experienced significant declines in cash balances. Cash flow weaknesses, which can seriously threaten financial viability, are affecting the smaller more than the larger State System universities, whose cash flows remain relatively strong.

Cheyney University's cash flow has been further stressed by the federal Department of Education's (ED) unwillingness to transfer the cash for Cheyney's fiscal year 2016/17 student financial aid. Cheyney has been anticipating the receipt of approximately \$8.9 million in student financial aid from the ED for fall 2016 and spring 2017, which has not yet been received as of the date of this document. To meet Cheyney's biweekly payroll cash needs, the Office of the Chancellor has been issuing interest-free shortterm appropriation anticipation notes to Chevney, which are paid back as the monthly appropriations are received, and also has issued a \$1.5 million interest-free loan that will be paid back when the funds are received from ED.

In addition, over the last four years, Cheyney has borrowed a total of \$30.5 million from the State System pooled deposits and investments account. The university's E&G and Auxiliary cash both would be in deficits without these borrowed funds. As detailed later in this document, in August 2017, the Board of Governors approved an action to forgive the

\$30.5 million owed by Cheyney University to the other 13 universities, provided that Cheyney meets certain fiscal conditions over the next four years. Assuming the conditions are met, the cash will be transferred from the other 13 universities over the next four years, most likely in proportion similar to the appropriation that the universities receive. This will cause additional cash flow stress to the other universities, especially to those that have relatively low cash balances.

To ensure the security of the cash inflows to Cheyney that have been restricted as to purpose by the donor or grantor, separate bank and investment accounts have been established for these funds, and access to them requires the approval of the Office of the Chancellor. The Office of the Chancellor is working closely with the university to sharply reduce expenditures in an effort to stabilize its cash flow and balance its budget.

- Clarion University's cash continues to decrease, although not quite as significantly as over the last four years. Clarion's combined E&G and Auxiliary cash and investments decreased by 16.1%, or \$2.6 million, in fiscal year 2016/17 over fiscal year 2015/16. Since June 30, 2014, Clarion's combined E&G and Auxiliary cash and investments have decreased by 62.8%, or \$22.5 million, from \$35.9 million at June 30, 2014, to \$13.4 million at June 30, 2017. The Office of the Chancellor continues to work with Clarion University to closely monitor its cash flows.
- After experiencing significant declines over the last two years, Mansfield University's combined E&G and Auxiliary cash and investments have stabilized. E&G balances increased by \$0.5 million and Auxiliary balances increased by \$1.4 million in fiscal year 2016/17 over fiscal year 2015/16, to a combined balance of \$16.8 million. The increase can be attributed to the university's efforts to reduce staff and engage in other cost-cutting measures, as well as a per-credit tuition program begun in fiscal year 2016/17. The Office of the Chancellor continues to work with Mansfield University to closely monitor its cash flows.
- The remaining universities have relatively strong cash balances, although some have experienced fiscal year decreases in E&G and/or Auxiliary cash. Several universities have cash

and investment balances close to or exceeding \$100 million at June 30, 2017, with West Chester having an unrestricted cash and investment balance of more than \$212 million. Overall, university E&G cash and investments increased by \$31.4 million in fiscal year 2016/17, for a balance of \$690.4 million at June 30, 2017. Auxiliary cash and investments decreased by \$10.4 million in fiscal year 2016/17, for a balance of \$326.5 million at June 30, 2017. This compares to an increase of \$8.2 million in E&G cash and investments and an increase of \$25.6 million in Auxiliary cash and investments in fiscal year 2015/16 over fiscal year 2014/15. The Office of the Chancellor is monitoring universities whose cash balance, revenue, expenditure, and enrollment trends may be an indication of future cash flow weaknesses.

## **Privatized Housing Acquisitions**

To decrease operational expenses and lower the cost of debt service, several universities have purchased student residence halls that were constructed by their affiliated organizations by issuing tax-exempt bonds through State System bond financing and paying off affiliates' debt. Since the transactions are between related parties, GAAP requires that the universities record the assets (the buildings) at the depreciated value that was recorded on the affiliates' books at the time of acquisition by the universities. Consequently, the debt being assumed by the universities significantly exceeds the value of the asset recorded, because not only did the funds that were originally borrowed by the affiliates include noncapitalized items such as furnishings and debt service fees, but also because the annual depreciation on the housing recorded by the affiliates exceeded the annual payments that were made to reduce debt principal.

In fiscal year 2016/17, **Edinboro University** acquired all eight of the student residence halls on campus property that had been constructed by their affiliate, Edinboro University Foundation. The book value of the housing at the time of acquisition was \$82.7 million, but the debt assumed was \$118.1 million, resulting in a **loss on acquisition of \$35.4 million**. Despite the negative effect on its balance sheet, over the 27-year debt term the university expects to reduce debt service payments by about \$52 million and avoid an estimated \$20 million in operating costs such as ground lease payments, management fees, insurance, and payments in lieu of taxes.

In fiscal year 2016/17, **Lock Haven University** acquired one large residence hall on campus property that had been constructed by their affiliate, Lock Haven University Foundation. The book value of the housing at the time of acquisition was \$32.0 million, but the debt assumed was \$38.1 million, resulting in a **loss on acquisition of \$6.1 million**. Despite the negative effect on its balance sheet, over the 25-year debt term the university expects to reduce debt service payments by about \$9.3 million and avoid an estimated \$3.5 million in operating costs such as ground lease payments, management fees, insurance, and payments in lieu of taxes.

In fiscal year 2016/17, **Mansfield University** acquired all four of the student residence halls on campus property that had been constructed by their affiliate, Mansfield Auxiliary Corporation. The book value of the housing at the time of acquisition was \$77.0 million, but the debt assumed was \$86.8 million, resulting in a **loss on acquisition of \$9.8 million**. Despite the negative effect on its balance sheet, over the 38-year debt term the university expects to reduce debt service payments by about \$16 million and avoid an estimated \$18 million in operating costs such as ground lease payments, management fees, insurance, and payments in lieu of taxes.

#### Revenue

In fiscal year 2017/18, the State System will receive \$453.1 million in **General Fund appropriations**, an increase of \$8.9 million, or 2.0%, over fiscal year 2016/17. This compares to a 2.5% increase received in 2016/17 over the prior fiscal year.

The governor has proposed that the Commonwealth continue its commitment to fund the State System's deferred maintenance projects with a \$17.1 million Realty Transfer Tax allocation from the **Key** '93 funds. This would be an increase of 6.2% from the \$16.1 million received in fiscal year 2016/17; however, the funds will not be received unless and until they are approved when the Commonwealth's fiscal year 2017/18 budget is approved.

In July the Board approved a 3.5% **tuition increase** for the 2017/18 academic year. The \$127-persemester increase sets the base tuition rate for most full-time Pennsylvania residents—who comprise about 90% of all State System university students—at \$3,746 per term, or \$7,492 for the full year. Even with the increase, the State System universities will remain the lowest-cost option

among all four-year colleges and universities in the state. The tuition increase will help offset about half of a projected \$71.7 million budget deficit across the System. The universities still will be required to trim a combined nearly \$37.8 million in expenditures to balance their individual budgets this year. The universities already have reduced expenditures by a combined nearly \$325 million over the last dozen years in order to balance their budgets and to help hold down student costs. Nonresident, undergraduate tuition also will increase by 3.5% beginning in fall 2017, ranging from \$11,238 to \$18,730 for the 2017/18 academic year. The resident, graduate tuition rate will be \$500 per credit, an increase of \$17. The nonresident, graduate tuition rate will increase by \$25 per credit, to \$750. The technology tuition fee will be \$464 for full-time resident students, and \$706 for full-time nonresidents.

The tuition rate increase, coupled with the increase in state appropriations, will provide almost all of the additional funds the universities need to balance their budgets. Significant cost increases are expected this year in several areas, including healthcare and pension contributions, over which the universities essentially have no control, as well as increases in salaries as a result of new collective bargaining agreements. As the number of high school graduates in the state continues to drop, most of the universities are expecting their enrollments to decline slightly, resulting in reduced revenue.

### **Enrollment**

With an undergraduate population comprising approximately 90% Pennsylvania residents—and the majority of those being traditional-age students enrolling right out of high school—the State System's enrollment historically has been closely tied to the state's high school demographic trends. Following is the projected number of Pennsylvania high school graduates based on estimates from the Pennsylvania Department of Education.

**Projected Pennsylvania High School Graduates** 

	Number of	% Increase
Fiscal Year	Graduates	(Decrease)
2017/18	125,234	0.2%
2018/19	123,814	(1.1%)
2019/20	121,027	(2.3%)
2020/21	121,981	0.8%
2021/22	122,721	0.6%
2022/23	120,940	(1.5%)
2023/24	122,453	1.3%
2024/25	124,240	1.5%
2025/26	123,983	(0.2%)

#### Pension Costs

In June 2017, Governor Tom Wolf signed into law legislation that will modify the pension benefits for new hires. Instead of receiving one of the defined benefit plans that are offered to current employees, most Commonwealth and public school employees hired in 2019 and beyond will be required to choose one of three pension options:

- A hybrid plan that requires workers to contribute 8.25% of their salary, which would be split between a defined contribution and defined benefit plan. Upon retirement, the employee will receive both a guaranteed pension, with an annual benefit accrual rate of 1.25% of their final average salary, and the accumulated balance of the defined contribution account.
- A hybrid plan that requires workers to contribute 7.5% of their salary, which would be split between a defined contribution and defined benefit plan.
   Upon retirement, the employee will receive both a guaranteed pension, with an annual benefit accrual rate of 1% of their final average salary, and the accumulated balance of the defined contribution account.
- A defined contribution plan, which would require an employee contribution of 7.5%. The employer would contribute 3.50% of the salary of Commonwealth employees and 2% of the salary of public school employees. Upon retirement, the employee will receive the accumulated balance of the defined contribution account, with no guaranteed amount.

In the first two options, vesting for employer contributions is 10 years for the defined benefit portion and three years for the defined contribution portion. In all three options, vesting for employee contributions is immediate.

In addition to these new SERS/PSERS plans, the State System will be permitted to continue offering the defined contribution Alternative Retirement Plan, with a 5% required employee contribution, a 9.29% employer contribution, and immediate vesting of both employer and employee contributions.

Lawmakers acknowledge that the bill is not intended to significantly pay down the pension systems' unfunded liabilities, but to gradually shift a portion of investment and other pension risks to future employees.

### **SERS**

- In fiscal year 2016/17, SERS continued its increases in employer pension contribution rates. The most predominant employer-paid SERS rates for State System employees rose more than 20% in 2016/17, after increases of nearly 25% in 2015/16, 32% in 2014/15, and more than 44% in 2013/14. The rates have increased by an additional 15% in 2017/18, bringing the contribution rate to 34.44% of employees' eligible salaries. According to SERS, the rate is expected to grow to 35.13% of employees' eligible salaries in fiscal year 2018/19.
- As of December 31, 2016, the SERS net pension liability was \$19.3 billion, compared to \$18.2 billion and \$14.9 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- SERS **funded ratio**, which is the actuarial value of assets compared to the actuarial accrued liability, was approximately 58.1% at December 31, 2016, compared to 58.0% and 59.4% at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For comparison, the funded ratio at December 31, 2003, was 104.9%, while SERS projects the funded ratio at December 31, 2027, to be only 67.8%.
- In 2016, nearly 6,700 new retirees were added to the annuity payroll, with an average annual benefit of approximately \$28,736; however, just 4,000 retirees, who had average annual benefits of about \$15,000, were removed from the rolls. The rolls have increased by 20,208 members, or 18.9%, since 2007.
- At December 31, 2016, State System employees represented 4.76% of active SERS members.

#### **PSERS**

• PSERS also continued its increases in employer pension contribution rates. The employer-paid PSERS rates for State System employees rose 15.6% in 2016/17, after increases of nearly 21% in 2015/16, more than 26% in 2014/15, and more than 37% in 2013/14. The rates have increased by an additional 8.7% in 2017/18, bringing the contribution rate to 16.285% of employees' eligible salaries. This rate comprises a 15.87% rate for pension costs and 0.415% for premium assistance payments, a healthcare benefit given to retirees who meet certain eligibility requirements. This rate is estimated to increase an additional 3.9% in fiscal year 2018/19.

- As of June 30, 2016, the PSERS net pension liability was \$49.6 billion, compared to \$43.3 billion at June 30, 2015, and \$39.6 billion at June 30, 2014.
- PSERS plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability was approximately 50.1% at June 30, 2016, compared to 54.4% at June 30, 2015, and 57.2% at June 30, 2014.
- At June 30, 2016, State System employees represented less than 0.2% of reported member salaries covered under PSERS.

#### ARP

The contribution rate for the ARP, a defined contribution pension plan, remains unchanged at 9.29% of employees' eligible salaries. There is no employer pension liability associated with the ARP.

### **Compensation Costs**

In December 2016, following a three-day strike by APSCUF, which represents all faculty and coaches, the Board approved a new collective bargaining agreement with the union. The new agreement with the faculty runs through June 30, 2018, while the agreement with the coaches will expire a year later. Under the terms of the agreement, dependent on their pay level, faculty received a salary increase of either 2.5% or 5% retroactive to January 2016 or a one-time cash payment equivalent to 2.5% of their annual salary. In addition, all faculty received an additional 2.75% increase retroactive to August 2016, followed by another 2% increase at the start of the fall 2017 semester. Coaches received a 3% salary increase retroactive to the start of the fall 2016 semester. In addition, full-time coaches received lump sum payments of \$1,500, while part-time coaches received \$750. Coaches also will receive pay increases of 2.75% in January 2018 and 2.5% in January 2019 and are eligible for additional merit increases of up to 2.5% in both July 2017 and July 2018. The agreements for both faculty and coaches also included healthcare plan revisions designed to produce cost savings for the State System, similar to those covering other State System employees.

The Board also approved a new agreement with the Office of Professional Employees International Union Healthcare Pennsylvania (**OPEIU**), which represents university health center nurses. Under the agreement, university nurses received a 3.5% increase retroactive to October 2016, their first general pay increase since 2010. They received an

additional increase of 2% in July 2017 and will receive an additional 2.5% in July 2018, along with service increments averaging approximately 2.25% in January 2018 and January 2019. The new contract also increases the reimbursement rates that nurses receive for costs associated with professional development and continuing education that is required to maintain their certification. The agreement expires June 30, 2019.

In December 2016 the Board also approved a "wage reopener" for campus police and security officers, who are represented by the Security Police and Fire Professionals of America (SPFPA), which provided the employees with a 3.5% pay increase retroactive to October 1, 2016. The contract with the police and security officers expired in August 2017, and the employees are working under the terms of the expired agreement while negotiations continue.

Lastly, in December 2016 the Board approved a two-year merit pool for employees not represented by a union. Nonrepresented employees were eligible for a 2.75% merit-based increase in January 2017 and will be eligible for a 4.25% merit-based increase in January 2018. The amount of increase each employee receives is determined based on his or her prior year's performance evaluation.

## Performance Funding

Fiscal year 2017/18 is the last year of the current model of the State System's performance funding program, which was begun in 2011. While the model is under review, a transitional model will be proposed to the Board. In light of anticipated changes, in fiscal year 2017/18 the Board allocated \$39.06 million in performance funding, the same amount that was allocated in fiscal year 2016/17.

#### Chevney University of Pennsylvania

As further detailed in the disclosures attached to these financial statements under note 12, Contingencies and Commitments, Cheyney University's accreditation status was placed on probation by the Middle States Commission on Higher Education (Middle States) in November 2015. The university was given two years to address the deficiencies noted by Middle States. As required, the university submitted monitoring reports that documented its plan for remediation.

In June 2017, Middle States required Cheyney to show cause, by September 1, 2017, as to why its

accreditation should not be withdrawn. Cheyney has submitted the required detailed operating plan to Middle States, which included a balanced budget that matched revenue with expenses. A decision by Middle States as to whether or not to renew Cheyney University's accreditation is expected by November 17, 2017.

To help the university secure continued accreditation, in August 2017, the Board approved a motion that, if over the next four years the university demonstrates fiscal stability, the more than \$30 million in loans made to Cheyney from the 13 other universities and the Office of the Chancellor will be forgiven. One-third will be forgiven when Cheyney cuts \$7.5 million from its fiscal year 2017/18 budget and maintains a balanced budget for fiscal year 2018/19. The next two-thirds will be forgiven when Cheyney demonstrates a balanced budget in each of the following two fiscal years.

To satisfy these conditions, the university has made cuts to administration and staff, reorganized business and campus operations, and renegotiated contracts with vendors. To additionally help balance the budget and better align academic programs with the future of the university, Cheyney will consider placing some academic programs into moratorium, meaning that they will not accept any new students into the programs. To further this plan, the Board approved limited policy exemptions for Cheyney so that the programs that are placed into moratorium can be immediately discontinued, rather than requiring the programs to remain open until all students in the program have graduated. Instead, affected students will be provided the opportunity either to complete another program at Cheyney or transfer to a similar program at any of the other 13 State System universities to complete their degree. Affected faculty members would continue to teach through the end of the spring 2018 semester and would have preferential hiring rights to vacancies posted at Cheyney and the other 13 universities.

As also detailed in note 12, in August 2015, Cheyney University voluntarily self-reported to the U.S. Department of Education (ED) that \$29.6 million of federal student financial aid was improperly administered and delivered in fiscal years 2011/12, 2012/13, and 2013/14, covering almost 4,400 student records. The State System has been engaged with the ED since reporting these findings. On April 3, 2017, the university received a response from the ED requiring the

university to take specified corrective actions, including submitting file reviews, reconciliations, and updated policies and procedures, and further requiring that Cheyney engage an independent Certified Public Accountant to attest to the file reviews and reconciliations. The university was given 90 days to respond to the request but asked for and was granted an extension to respond until December 31, 2017.

One consequence of the university's past deficiencies in administering federal student aid is that in September 2015 the ED placed the university on Heightened Cash Monitoring 2 (HCM2) status, meaning that the university does not receive federal student financial aid funds in advance, but must use its own cash to grant federal financial aid to its students and then request reimbursement from the ED. After demonstrating compliance with HCM2 requirements, the university received its spring 2016 federal student aid funds in July, but has not yet received its fall 2016 and spring 2017 federal student aid funds, which total \$8.9 million. The delay in receipt of funds is causing further pressure on the university's severe cash shortage.

In January 2016, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) notified the State System that it was investigating the application and use of federal student financial aid by Cheyney University and the oversight of the university by the State System. The DOJ requested that the university and State System preserve and produce certain documents. The State System has fully complied with the DOJ's request. No action has been taken at this point by the DOJ, and the possible resulting outcomes from the investigation are uncertain until communication is received from the DOJ.

Cheyney University ended fiscal year 2016/17 with a \$5.2 million loss in E&G activity and a \$2.2 million loss in Auxiliary activity, for a total unrestricted loss of \$7.4 million, bringing the university's unrestricted net position deficit to \$25.0 million at June 30, 2017 (excluding unfunded pension, postretirement, and compensated absences liabilities). This follows a \$5.7 million loss in unrestricted funds in fiscal year 2015/16, a \$5.5 million loss in unrestricted funds in fiscal year 2014/15, and a \$4.2 million loss in fiscal year 2013/14.

Although net tuition and fees increased slightly, from \$2.1 million in fiscal year 2015/16 to \$2.3 million in fiscal year 2016/17, and E&G salaries and benefits decreased by \$2.3 million,

other E&G operating expenses increased by \$4.5 million, primarily as a result of efforts to rectify health and safety concerns on the campus, improve the level of facilities maintenance, and enhance campus technology services.

Cheyney's fall 2017 enrollment is expected to be slightly lower than its 2016 enrollment of 746 students, compared to a fall 2015 enrollment of 711 students and a fall 2014 enrollment of 1,022 students.

The State System, through the Office of the Chancellor, continues to provide oversight to Cheyney's leadership in an attempt to stabilize its financial condition and implement a comprehensive plan that includes timely and accurate financial aid processing, increasing student enrollment, restructuring course offerings, revitalizing its academic program array, and right-sizing personnel and facilities.

## Moody's Rating and Outlook

In August 2017, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's), reaffirmed the State System's **bond rating of Aa3**, but revised the outlook from *stable* to *negative*. In its August 17, 2017, *Credit Opinion*, Moody's stated:

The negative outlook reflects prospects for increasingly pressured operations and cash flow due to growing benefit costs from statesponsored plans and higher compensation costs from its collective bargaining agreements governing most of its employees. Further, the system faces the start of new negotiations with faculty as the union contract expires in less than one year. These challenges all come in the face of limited prospects for revenue growth as [the State System] projects fall 2017 enrollment to be lower than budget and limited opportunity growth in state funding. Additionally, [the State System] confronts likely controversial decisions around the system's organizational cost structure that may prove difficult to execute following the conclusion of the system review requested by the board.

Moody's details as State System **challenges** the high number of faculty and staff that are subject to collective bargaining agreements, which pressure operations and limit cost management efforts; significant pension and OPEB liabilities along with increasing required contributions; enrollment and financial challenges at some campuses, which may require more aggressive restructuring efforts; high leverage, with \$2.3 billion of total debt, including

affiliates' student housing debt; and the expected challenges in executing the recommendations from NCHEMS.

Moody's details as State System **strengths** its substantial balance sheet reserves, with unrestricted liquidity of \$1.3 billion; its significant scale as one of the nation's largest higher education systems; effective State System governance and management, including strong fiscal oversight and debt management; positive, although thinning, cash flow; and modest near-term debt plans with rapidly amortizing debt.

Moody's predicts that a ratings downgrade could result from a decline in unrestricted liquidity, failure to lessen declining enrollment, and a weakening of the Commonwealth's credit profile or a decline in Commonwealth support. Conversely, a ratings upgrade could result from a sustained increase in operating performance and cash flow generation, significantly strengthened and growing enrollment across the State System, and greater flexibility in adjusting to business conditions.

For **further information** about these financial statements, contact Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education, Accounting Office, 2986 North Second Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110.



## **Balance Sheet**

(dollars in thousands)

## **Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources**

	Jur	ne 30, 2017	June 30, 2016		
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	17,829	\$	20,519	
Short-term investments		680,955		649,417	
Accounts receivable, students,					
net of allowance for doubtful accounts of					
\$34,067 in 2017 and \$30,049 in 2016		44,446		42,765	
Accounts receivable, other		30,178		30,827	
Governmental grants and contracts receivable		23,194		16,986	
Inventories		2,932		2,958	
Prepaid expenses		12,732		14,031	
Current portion of loans receivable, net		9,032		8,638	
Due from component units		16,676		23,015	
Other current assets		2,642		2,646	
Total Current Assets		840,616		811,802	
Noncurrent Assets					
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		25		25	
Endowment investments		32,126		29,596	
Other long-term investments		634,716		676,630	
Loans receivable, net		31,810		32,474	
Due from component units		8,767		9,160	
Capital Assets:					
Land		32,360		32,360	
Buildings, including improvements		2,432,715		2,213,463	
Improvements other than buildings		298,197		285,136	
Equipment and furnishings		487,973		470,347	
Library books		80,734		81,314	
Construction in progress		183,303		80,012	
		3,515,282		3,162,632	
Less accumulated depreciation		(1,615,900)		(1,509,343)	
Capital assets, net		1,899,382		1,653,289	
Other noncurrent assets		1,371		1,873	
Total Noncurrent Assets		2,608,197		2,403,047	
Total Assets		3,448,813		3,214,849	
Deferred Outflows of Resources		241,108		191,221	
Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$	3,689,921	\$	3,406,070	

## **Balance Sheet**

(dollars in thousands)

## Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position

	Jui	ne 30, 2017	June 30, 2016		
Current Liabilities					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	176,567	\$	162,520	
Unearned revenue		51,139		50,448	
Deposits		6,571		40,691	
Current portion of workers' compensation liability		4,305		4,419	
Current portion of compensated absences liability		10,620		9,990	
Current portion of capitalized lease obligations		3,778		3,476	
Current portion of bonds payable		70,605		62,885	
Due to component units		10,490		10,693	
Other current liabilities		117,144		77,241	
Total Current Liabilities		451,219		422,363	
Noncurrent Liabilities					
Unearned revenue		3,175		4,615	
Workers' compensation liability, net of current portion		16,062		17,672	
Compensated absences liability, net of current portion		108,906		105,433	
Capitalized lease obligations, net of current portion		42,528		45,170	
Bonds payable, net of current portion		1,002,310		779,705	
Postretirement benefits liability		1,145,088		1,106,643	
Net pension liability		1,022,458		938,637	
Other noncurrent liabilities		99,826		77,652	
Total Noncurrent Liabilities		3,440,353		3,075,527	
Total Liabilities		3,891,572		3,497,890	
Deferred Inflows of Resources		47,274		30,162	
Net Position					
Net investment in capital assets		722,365		709,271	
Restricted for:		,		. 00,	
Nonexpendable:					
Scholarships and fellowships		37,361		32,505	
Student loans		5,345		4,538	
Other		3,272		3,961	
Expendable:		5,272		3,301	
Scholarships and fellowships		26,153		21,074	
Research		187		21,074	
Capital projects Other		32,847 16,105		37,406 14,723	
		•		14,723	
Unrestricted Total Not Position		(1,092,560)		(945,708)	
Total Net Position		(248,925)		(121,982)	
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position	\$	3,689,921	\$	3,406,070	

## Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

	 201	17		2016	6	
Operating Revenues						
Tuition and fees	\$ 1,086,337			\$ 1,065,416		
Less discounts and allowances	 (230,636)	•	0===04	 (226,381)	•	
Net tuition and fees		\$	855,701		\$	839,035
Governmental grants and contracts:			00.400			07.540
Federal			38,128			37,519
State			103,938			104,650
Local			4,910			4,595
Nongovernmental grants and contracts			11,132			8,758
Sales and services			39,968			37,660
Auxiliary enterprises, net of discounts of \$2,450			007.704			
in 2017 and \$1,782 in 2016			327,781			323,358
Other revenues, net			19,849	_		8,446
Total Operating Revenues			1,401,407			1,364,021
Operating Expenses						
Instruction			779,814			749,290
Research			6,353			6,304
Public service			42,543			39,381
Academic support			185,411			184,037
Student services			189,610			184,675
Institutional support			253,007			257,261
Operations and maintenance of plant			159,295			159,904
Depreciation			132,267			121,683
Student aid			76,416			79,136
Auxiliary enterprises	<u>.</u>		258,970	_		253,786
Total Operating Expenses			2,083,686			2,035,457
Operating Loss	•		(682,279)	_		(671,436)
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)						
State appropriations, general and restricted			444,224			433,389
Commonwealth on-behalf pension contributions			10,022			7,952
Pell grants			134,162			138,575
Investment income, net of related investment expense						
of \$333 in 2017 and \$354 in 2016			35,035			23,979
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments			(19,704)			2,551
Gifts for other than capital purposes			20,877			28,544
Interest expense on capital asset-related debt			(38,228)			(33,920)
Loss on disposal/acquisition of assets			(54,820)			(20,490)
Other nonoperating revenue			2,103			4,018
Net Nonoperating Revenues			533,671			584,598
Loss before other revenues	•		(148,608)	_		(86,838)
State appropriations, capital			16,081			15,714
Capital gifts and grants			5,563			7,620
Additions to permanent endowments			21			25
Decrease in Net Position			(126,943)	_		(63,479)
Net position—beginning of year			(121,982)			(58,503)
Net position—end of year		\$	(248,925)	_ =	\$	(121,982)

## Statement of Cash Flows For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

	2017		2016		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities				_	
Tuition and fees	\$	852,254	\$	840,064	
Grants and contracts		152,507		160,543	
Payments to suppliers for goods and services		(471,080)		(470,716)	
Payments to employees		(1,331,148)		(1,304,770)	
Loans issued to students		(5,462)		(5,374)	
Loans collected from students		5,726		6,442	
Student aid		(76,910)		(79,503)	
Auxiliary enterprise charges		325,798		324,031	
Sales and services		41,059		37,044	
Other receipts		66,846		70,594	
Net cash used in operating activities		(440,410)		(421,645)	
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities					
State appropriations		444,224		433,389	
Gifts and nonoperating grants for other than capital purposes		154,847		162,524	
PLUS, Stafford, and other loans receipts (non-Perkins)		919,357		904,666	
PLUS, Stafford, and other loans disbursements (non-Perkins)		(919,385)		(904,648)	
Agency transactions, net		(1,570)		(3,598)	
Other		2,104		4,018	
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities		599,577		596,351	
Cash Flows from Capital Financing Activities					
Proceeds from capital debt and leases		86,534		86,798	
Capital appropriations		15,511		15,714	
Capital grants and gifts received		4,751		4,184	
Proceeds from sales of capital assets		90		101	
Purchases of capital assets		(173,783)		(115,657)	
Principal paid on capital debt and leases		(71,488)		(110,963)	
Interest paid on capital debt and leases		(46,567)		(43,450)	
Net cash used in capital financing activities		(184,952)		(163,273)	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities					
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments		34,702,266		20,624,557	
Interest on investments		34,654		23,672	
Purchase of investments		(34,713,825)		(20,659,563)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		23,095		(11,334)	
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(2,690)		99	
Cash and cash equivalents—beginning of year		20,544		20,445	
Cash and cash equivalents—end of year	\$	17,854	\$	20,544	

## Statement of Cash Flows For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

	2017		2016		
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	ф	(000 070)	Ф	(074 400)	
Operating loss	\$	(682,279)	\$	(671,436)	
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash used in operating activities:					
Depreciation expense		132,267		121,683	
Expenses paid by Commonwealth or donor		6,532		7,062	
Effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Receivables, net		(6,547)		7,614	
Inventories		26		611	
Other assets		8,918		(4,180)	
Accounts payable		983		(5,119)	
Unearned revenue		(601)		606	
Student deposits		504		(1,148)	
Compensated absences		4,103		783	
Loans to students and employees		264		1,067	
Postretirement benefits liability (OPEB)		38,445		49,403	
Defined benefit pensions		83,821		139,893	
Other liabilities		7,084		34,377	
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions		(51,180)		(117,850)	
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions		17,250		14,989	
Net cash used in operating activities	\$	(440,410)	\$	(421,645)	
Noncash Activities					
Capital assets included in payables	\$	13,761	\$	14,602	
Capital assets acquired by new capital leases		1,348		230	
Capital assets acquired by gift or appropriation		1,309		4,391	
Student housing capital assets acquired		191,829		55,901	
Like-kind exchanges		22		4	
Debt acquired for student housing acquisition		243,090		71,299	

## **Component Units Statement of Financial Position**

	June 30, 2017		June 30, 201			
Assets				_		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1	55,724	\$	147,696		
Accounts receivable		7,544		6,967		
Contributions/pledges receivable		16,978		18,540		
Due from universities		10,756		12,536		
Prepaid expenses		2,641		2,377		
Inventories		6,098		7,340		
Short-term investments		60,685		71,077		
Investments	4	40,646		398,311		
Capital assets:						
Land		33,072		32,471		
Buildings	1,1	67,813	1,308,682			
Building improvements		19,140		18,632		
Improvements other than buildings		7,344	9,023			
Equipment and furnishings		72,170		89,355		
Construction in progress		40,906		50,587		
	1,3	40,445		1,508,750		
Less accumulated depreciation	(2	70,679)		(276,262)		
Capital assets, net	1,0	69,766		1,232,488		
Other assets	1	21,182		114,422		
Total Assets	\$ 1,8	92,020	\$	2,011,754		
Liabilities						
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	29,443	\$	32,899		
Deferred revenue	-	10,030	-	10,682		
Interest payable		8,779		8,779		11,091
Annuity liabilities		7,140		7,012		
Due to universities		24,973		31,899		
Deposits payable		25,806		22,409		
Interest rate swap agreements		58,565		84,662		
Capitalized leases		28,662		28,428		
Bonds payable		13,142		1,131,414		
Notes payable		19,078		307,174		
Other liabilities		16,392		10,820		
Total Liabilities	1,4	42,010		1,678,490		
Net Assets						
Unrestricted		58,516		(13,833)		
Temporarily restricted		14,750		97,878		
Permanently restricted		76,744				
Total Net Assets		50,010		249,219 333,264		
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 1,8	92,020	\$	2,011,754		

## Component Units Statement of Activities For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

	2017	2016			
Revenues and Gains	 				
Contributions	\$ 43,946	\$	40,443		
Sales and services	45,122		47,255		
Student fees	33,188		33,853		
Grants and contracts	10,843		11,162		
Rental income	149,294	171,07			
Investment income, gains, and losses	69,155	7,860			
Other revenues and gains	 68,937	36,912			
Total Revenues and Gains	420,485		348,558		
Expenses and Losses					
Program services:					
Scholarships and grants	17,211		16,460		
Student activities and programs	27,882		31,020		
University stores	26,983		29,141		
Housing	142,417		157,866		
Other university support	15,486		21,533		
Other programs	24,492	19,986			
Management and general	32,577	34,6			
Fundraising	10,701		10,815		
Other expenses and losses	 5,990		41,449		
Total Expenses and Losses	303,739		362,896		
Change in Net Assets	116,746		(14,338)		
Net assets—beginning of year	333,264		347,602		
Net assets—end of year	\$ 450,010	\$	333,264		

## **Notes to Financial Statements**

Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

## (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Organization

Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education (State System) is a body corporate and politic, created by the State System of Higher Education Act of November 12, 1982, P.L. 660, No. 188, as amended (Act 188). The State System is a component unit of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Commonwealth) and is governed by a Board of Governors (Board), as provided for in Act 188. The State System comprises the 14 universities and the Office of the Chancellor.

#### Reporting Entity

The State System functions as a Business Type Activity, as defined by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

Certain affiliated organizations are included in the State System's financial statements as discretely presented component units. Some of the organizations, such as university student associations, are included because the Board has oversight responsibility for the organizations. The criteria used in determining the organizations for which the State System has oversight responsibility include financial interdependency, the ability to select members of the governing body, the ability to designate management, the ability to influence operations significantly, and accountability for fiscal matters. Other affiliated organizations for which the Board does not have oversight responsibility, such as university foundations and alumni associations, are included when the economic resources received or held by the organization are entirely or almost entirely for the direct benefit of the State System, the activity of the organization is significant to the State System universities, and the State System historically has received a majority of these economic resources. Neither the State System nor

its universities control the timing or amount of receipts from these organizations.

The State System does not consider any of its component units to be major, and has aggregated all component unit information into a separate set of financial statements. Information on individual component units can be obtained by contacting the respective universities.

Transactions between the universities and the Office of the Chancellor have been eliminated in the accompanying financial statements.

## Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), as prescribed by GASB. The economic resources measurement focus reports all inflows, outflows, and balances that affect an entity's net assets. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

The accompanying financial statements of the component units, which are all private nonprofit organizations, are reported in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) requirements, including FASB Statement No. 117, *Financial Reporting for Not-for-Profit Organizations*. As such, certain revenue recognition criteria and presentation features are different from GASB revenue recognition criteria and presentation features. No modifications for these differences

have been made to the component units' financial information presented herein.

#### Operating Revenues and Expenses

The State System records tuition; all academic, instructional, and other student fees; student financial aid; auxiliary activity; corporate partnerships; and revenue from cogeneration sales as operating revenue. In addition, governmental and private grants and contracts in which the grantor receives equal value for the funds given to the university are recorded as operating revenue. All expenses, with the exception of interest expense, loss on investments, loss on the acquisition and disposal of assets, and extraordinary expenses, are recorded as operating expenses. Appropriations, gifts, investment income, capital grants, gains on investments, gains on the disposal of assets, parking and library fines, and governmental and private research grants and contracts in which the grantor does not receive equal value for the funds given to the university are reported as nonoperating revenue.

## Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources

The balance sheet reports separate sections for Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources.

Deferred Outflows of Resources, reported after Total Assets, is defined by GASB as a consumption of net position that applies to future periods. The expense is recognized in the applicable future period(s). Deferred Inflows of Resources, reported after Total Liabilities, is defined by GASB as an acquisition of net position that applies to future periods. The revenue is recognized in the applicable future period(s).

Transactions are classified as deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources only when specifically prescribed by GASB standards.

The State System is required to report the following as Deferred Outflows of Resources or Deferred Inflows of Resources.

 Deferred gain or loss on bond refundings, which results when the carrying value of a refunded bond is greater or less than its reacquisition price. The difference is deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the old bond or the life of the new bond, whichever is shorter.  For defined benefit pension plans: the difference between expected (actuarial) and actual experience, changes in actuarial assumptions, net difference between projected (actuarial) and actual earnings on pension plan investments, changes in the State System's proportion of expenses and liabilities to the pension as a whole, differences between the State System's pension contributions and its proportionate share of contributions, and State System pension contributions subsequent to the pension valuation measurement date.

#### **Net Position**

Net position is the residual of Assets, plus Deferred Outflows of Resources, less Liabilities, less Deferred Inflows of Resources. The State System maintains the following classifications of net position.

Net investment in capital assets: Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and outstanding principal balances of debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, repair, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted—nonexpendable: The portion of net position subject to externally imposed conditions requiring that it be maintained by the State System in perpetuity.

Restricted—expendable: The portion of net position use of which is subject to externally imposed conditions that can be fulfilled by the actions of the State System or by the passage of time.

*Unrestricted*: All other categories of net position. Unrestricted net position may be designated for specific purposes by the Board.

When both restricted and unrestricted funds are available for expenditure, the decision as to which funds are used first is left to the discretion of the universities.

### Cash Equivalents and Investments

The State System considers all demand and time deposits and money market funds to be cash equivalents. Investments purchased are stated at fair value. Investments received as gifts are recorded at their fair value or appraised value as of the date of the gift. The State System classifies investments as short-term when they are readily

marketable and intended to be converted to cash within one year.

#### Accounts and Loans Receivable

Accounts and loans receivable consist of tuition and fees charged to current and former students and amounts due from federal and state governments in connection with reimbursements of allowable expenditures made pursuant to grants, contracts, and other miscellaneous sources.

Accounts and loans receivable are reported at net realizable value. Accounts are written off when they are determined to be uncollectible based upon management's assessment of individual accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is estimated based upon the universities' historical losses and periodic review of individual accounts.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined principally on the weighted average method.

## Capital Assets

Land and buildings at the 14 university campuses acquired or constructed prior to its creation on July 1, 1983, are owned by the Commonwealth and made available to the universities of the State System. Since the State System neither owns such assets nor is responsible to service associated bond indebtedness, no value is ascribed thereto in the accompanying financial statements. Likewise, no value is ascribed to the portion of any land or buildings acquired or constructed using capital funds appropriated by the Commonwealth after June 30, 1983, and made available to the universities.

All assets with a purchase cost, or fair value if acquired by gift, in excess of \$5,000, with an estimated useful life of two years or greater, are capitalized. Buildings, portions of buildings, and capital improvements acquired or constructed by the universities after June 30, 1983, through the expenditure of university funds or the incurring of debt are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation.

Equipment and furnishings are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Library books are capitalized on a composite basis in the year of purchase. Assets under capital leases are recorded at the lower of the present value of the minimum

lease payments or the fair value of the asset. The State System provides for depreciation on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over useful lives ranging from 10 to 40 years. Equipment and furnishings are depreciated over useful lives ranging from 3 to 10 years. Library books are depreciated over 10 years. Amortization of assets under capital leases is included in depreciation expense. Normal repair and maintenance expenditures are not capitalized because they neither add to the value of the property nor materially prolong its useful life.

The State System does not capitalize collections of art, rare books, historical items, etc., as they are held for public exhibition, education, or research rather than financial gain.

## Impairment of Capital Assets

Management reviews capital assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the service utility of an asset has declined significantly and unexpectedly. Any writedowns due to impairment are charged to operations at the time impairment is identified. No write-down of capital assets was required for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

#### Unearned Revenue

Unearned revenue includes amounts for tuition and fees, grants, corporate sponsorship payments, and certain auxiliary activities received prior to the end of the fiscal year but earned in a subsequent accounting period.

## Compensated Absences

The estimated cost of future payouts of annual leave and sick leave that employees have earned for services rendered, and which the employees may be entitled to receive upon termination or retirement, is recorded as a liability.

## Pension Plans

Employees of the State System enroll in one of three available retirement plans immediately upon employment. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania State Employees' Retirement System (SERS) and the Public School Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) are governmental cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plans. The Alternative Retirement Plan (ARP) is a defined contribution plan administered by the State System.

#### Scholarships and Waivers

In accordance with a formula prescribed by the National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), the State System allocates the cost of scholarships, waivers, and other student financial aid between *Discounts and allowances* (netted against tuition and fees) and *Student aid expense*. Scholarships and waivers of room and board fees are reported in Auxiliary enterprises. The cost of tuition waivers granted to employees is reported as employees' benefits expense.

#### Income Taxes

The State System and its member universities are tax-exempt; accordingly, no provision for income taxes has been made in the accompanying financial statements.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior period presented have been reclassified to conform to the current period financial statement presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on the previously reported net position or changes therein.

## **New Accounting Standards**

GASB has issued several accounting standards that are required to be adopted by the State System in future years. The State System is evaluating the impact of the adoption of these standards on its financial statements as discussed below.

In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. This statement establishes new accounting and financial reporting requirements for governments whose employees are provided with OPEB (other postemployment benefits), as well as for certain nonemployer governments that have a legal obligation to provide financial support for OPEB

provided to the employees of other entities. This statement will require the State System to record its postretirement healthcare liability in the amount equal to the actuarially accrued liability: in its most recent actuarial valuation dated July 1, 2016, the State System's accrued postretirement healthcare liability, as calculated by the actuaries, was \$1,106,763,000, but under current GASB requirements, the amount recorded on the balance sheet as a liability at June 30, 2017, was the Net OPEB obligation of \$1,145,088,000. The State System expects that the amount recorded on the balance sheet as a postretirement healthcare liability will increase when Statement No. 75 is implemented, but the amount will not be known until the calculation is performed under the new standards. Furthermore, Statement No. 75 will require that the State System record the liability for its employees who participate in the Commonwealth's Retired Employees Health Plan (REHP). Under current GASB standards, the State System does not report a share of the REHP's unfunded liability since the REHP is a multipleemployer cost-sharing plan administered by the Pennsylvania Employee Benefits Trust Fund (PEBTF). The amount that the State System will have to record as its share of the liability when Statement No. 75 becomes effective is unknown; however, it is expected to have a material negative effect on the State System's balance sheet. The provisions in Statement No. 75 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017.

In June 2016, GASB issued Statement No. 80, Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14. Statement No. 80 requires blending of a component unit incorporated as a not-for-profit corporation in which the primary government (university) is the sole corporate member. The State System has determined that Statement No. 80 does not apply to its component units and has no effect on its financial statements.

In March 2016, GASB issued Statement No. 81, *Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements*. Statement No. 81 provides recognition and measurement guidance for gifts received from donors who have transferred the gifts to an intermediary to hold and administer for the government (university) and at least one other beneficiary. An example of a split-interest agreement is a charitable remainder trust. The State System has determined that Statement No. 81 applies to a small number of certain local

university investments and will have an immaterial effect on its financial statements. The provisions in Statement No. 81 are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016.

In March 2016, GASB issued Statement No. 82, Pension Issues—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73. Statement No. 82 addresses technical issues related to previous GASB guidance on pensions. The State System has determined that Statement No. 82 will have no effect on its financial statements.

In November 2016, GASB issued Statement No. 83, Certain Asset Retirement Obligations. Statement No. 83 establishes criteria for determining the timing and pattern of recognition of a liability and a corresponding deferred outflow of resources for legally enforceable liabilities associated with the retirement of tangible capital assets. Examples of asset retirements covered under this standard are the decommissioning of a nuclear reactor or the dismantling and removal of sewage treatment plants as required by law. The State System has determined that, based on current regulations, facts, and circumstances, Statement No. 83 will have no effect on its financial statements.

In January 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 84, Fiduciary Activities. Statement No. 84 establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities of all state and local governments to determine whether an activity should be reported in a fiduciary fund in the financial statements. The State System has determined that Statement No. 84 will have no effect on its financial statements.

In March 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 85, *Omnibus 2017.* Statement No. 85 addresses practice issues that have been identified during implementation of certain GASB statements. The State System has determined that Statement No. 85 will have no effect on its financial statements.

In May 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 86, Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues. Statement No. 86 provides guidance for transactions in which cash and other monetary assets acquired with existing resources are placed in an irrevocable trust for the sole purpose of extinguishing debt. The State System has determined that Statement No. 86 will have no effect on its financial statements.

In June 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 87, Leases. Statement No. 87 establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. In other words, most leases currently classified as operating leases will be accounted for and reported in the same manner as capital leases. The State System has determined that, although Statement No. 87 will change the way it accounts for its operating leases, it will have little, if any, effect on its net position or results of operations. The provisions in Statement No. 87 are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019.

## (2) DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

On June 30, 2017 and 2016, the carrying amount of the State System's demand and time deposits and certificates of deposit for all funds was \$17,868,000 and \$20,558,000, respectively, compared to bank balances of \$17,354,000 and \$20,280,000, respectively. The difference is caused primarily by items in transit. Of the bank balances, \$3,684,000 and \$4,214,000, respectively, were covered by federal government depository insurance or collateralized by a pledge of U.S. Treasury obligations held by Federal Reserve banks in the name of the banking institutions; \$521,000 and \$608,000, respectively, were uninsured and uncollateralized; and \$13,149,000 and \$15,458,000, respectively, were uninsured and uncollateralized but covered under the collateralization provisions of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Act 72 of 1971, as amended. Act 72 allows banking institutions to satisfy the collateralization requirements by pooling eligible investments to cover total public funds on deposit in excess of federal insurance. Such pooled collateral is pledged with the financial institutions' trust departments.

Board of Governors' Policy 1986-02-A: *Investment*, authorizes the State System to invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, repurchase agreements, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, banker's acceptances, U.S. money market funds, municipal bonds, corporate bonds, collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), asset-backed securities, and internal loan funds. Restricted nonexpendable funds and amounts designated by the Board or university trustees may be invested in the investments described above as well as in corporate equities and approved pooled common

funds. For purposes of convenience and expedience, universities use local financial institutions for activities such as deposits of cash. In addition, universities may accept gifts of investments from donors as long as risk is limited to the investment itself. Restricted gifts of investments fall outside the scope of the investment policy.

In keeping with its legal status as a system of public universities, the State System recognizes a fiduciary responsibility to invest all funds prudently and in accordance with ethical and prevailing legal standards. Investment decisions are intended to minimize risk while maximizing asset value. Adequate liquidity is maintained so that assets can be held to maturity. High quality investments are preferred. Reasonable portfolio diversification is pursued to ensure that no single security or investment or class of securities or investments will have a disproportionate or significant impact on the total portfolio. Investments may be made in U.S. dollar-denominated debt of high quality U.S. and

non-U.S. corporations. Investment performance is monitored on a frequent and regular basis to ensure that objectives are attained and guidelines are followed.

Safety of principal and liquidity are the top priorities for the investment of the State System's operating funds. Within those guidelines, income optimization is pursued. Speculative investment activity is not allowed: this includes investing in asset classes such as commodities, futures, short-sales, equities, real or personal property, options, venture capital investments, private placements, letter stocks, and unlisted securities.

The State System's operating funds are invested and reinvested in the following types of instruments with qualifications as provided. (See <u>Board of Governors' Policy 1986-02-A: Investment</u>, for a complete list of and more details on permissible investments and associated qualifications.)

Investment Categories	Qualifications/Moody's Ratings Requirements
United States Government Securities	Together with repurchase agreements, must comprise at least 20% of the market value of the fund.
Repurchase Agreements	Underlying collateral must be direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury and be in the State System's or its agent's custody.
Commercial Paper	P-1 and P-2 notes only, with no more than 5% and 3%, respectively, of the market value of the fund invested in any single issuer. Total may not exceed 20% of the market value of the fund.
Municipal Bonds	Bonds must carry long-term debt rating of A or better. Total may not exceed 20% of the market value of the fund.
Corporate Bonds	15% must carry long-term debt rating of A or better; 5% may be rated Baa2 or better. Total may not exceed 20% of the market value of the fund.
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs)	Must be rated Aaa and guaranteed by the U.S. government. Total may not exceed 20% of the market value of the fund.
Asset-Backed Securities	Must be Aaa rated. Total may not exceed 20% of the market value of the fund, with no more than 5% invested in any single issuer.
System Investment Fund Loans (university loans and bridge notes)	Total may not exceed 20% of the market value of the fund, and loan terms may not exceed 5 years.

**CMO Risk**: CMOs sometimes are based on cash flows from interest-only (IO) payments or principal-only (PO) payments and are sensitive to prepayment risks. The CMOs in the State System's portfolio do not have IO or PO structures; however, they are subject to extension or contraction risk based on movements in interest rates.

**Moody's Rating**: The State System uses ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc., to indicate the

credit risk of investments; i.e., the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. An *Aaa* rating indicates the highest quality obligations with minimal credit risk. Ratings that begin with *Aa* indicate high quality obligations subject to very low credit risk; ratings that begin with *A* indicate upper-medium-grade obligations subject to low credit risk; and ratings that begin with *Baa* indicate medium-grade obligations, subject to moderate credit risk, that may possess certain

speculative characteristics. Moody's appends the ratings with numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3, with 1 indicating a higher ranking and 3 indicating a lower ranking within the category. For short-term obligations, a rating of *P-1* indicates that issuers have a superior ability to repay short-term debt obligations, and a rating of *P-2* indicates that issuers have a strong ability to repay short-term debt obligations.

Modified Duration: The State System denotes interest rate risk, or the risk that changes in interest rates will affect the fair value of an investment, using modified duration. Duration is a measurement in years of how long it takes for the price of a bond to be repaid by its internal cash flows. Modified duration takes into account changing interest rates. The State System maintains a portfolio duration target of 1.8 years with an upper limit of 2.5 years for the intermediate-term component of the operating portion of the investment portfolio. The State System's duration targets are not applicable to its long-term investments.

Fair Value Hierarchy: GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, requires that investments be classified according to a "fair value hierarchy." With respect to Statement No. 72's fair value hierarchy, GASB defines "inputs" as "the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability, including assumptions about risk." Statement No. 72 further categorizes inputs as observable or unobservable: Observable inputs are "inputs that are developed using market data, such as publicly available information about actual events or transactions, and which reflect the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability"; Unobservable inputs are "inputs for which market data are not available and that are developed using the best information available about the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability."

Statement No. 72's fair value hierarchy categorizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three "levels":

Level 1: Investments whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market, such as stocks listed in the S&P 500 or NASDAQ. If an up-to-date price of the investment can be found on a major exchange, it is a Level 1 investment.

Level 2: Investments whose values are based on their quoted prices in inactive markets or whose values are based on models, and the inputs to those models are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Investments that trade infrequently, and as a result do not have many reliable market prices. Valuations of Level 3 investments typically are based on management assumptions or expectations. For example, a private equity investment or complex derivative would likely be a Level 3 investment.

In addition, the fair value of certain investments that do not have a readily determinable fair value is classified as *NAV*, meaning Net Asset Value per share, when the fair value is calculated in a manner consistent with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's measurement principles for investment companies.

Debt and equity securities classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using prices quoted in active markets for those securities. Debt and equity securities classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using a matrix pricing technique. Matrix pricing is used to value securities based on the securities' relationship to benchmark quoted prices. Securities classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy lack an independent pricing source and so are valued using an internal fair value as provided by the investment manager.

Commonfund investments, held locally by some of the universities, are valued based upon the unit values (NAV) of the funds held by the universities at year end. Unit values are based upon the underlying assets of the funds derived from inputs principally from or corroborated by observable market data, by correlation, or other means. Redemption restrictions for the Commonfund vary, depending upon the type of fund in which the universities have invested, and are restricted to withdrawals only on a weekly basis or the last business day of the month. All withdrawals require five days' notice.

State System Pooled Deposits and Investments
The carrying values (fair values) of deposits and investments for the State System's pooled funds in M&T Bank on June 30, 2017 and 2016, follow.

# State System Pooled Deposits and Investments June 30, 2017

(in thousands)

	(			
	Fair Value Hierarchy	Moody's Rating	Modified Duration	
	Level	(if applicable)	(if applicable)	Fair Value
Deposits				
Money market funds				6,163
Total deposits				6,163
Investments				
Commercial paper	2	P1	0.15	218,032
Government money market mutual fund	2	Aaa	0.00	141,939
U.S. government and agency obligations	2	Aaa	2.10	362,456
Asset-backed securities	2	Aaa	0.85	103,492
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	2	Aaa	2.29	199,721
Corporate bonds and notes	2	Aaa	0.38	6,011
·	2	Aa1	0.51	8,710
	2	Aa2	0.75	7,734
	2	Aa3	0.97	13,332
	2	A1	1.38	62,803
	2	A2	1.53	56,579
	2	A3	1.87	45,265
	2	Ba1	0.25	3,140
	2	Baa1	1.70	35,555
	2	Baa2	1.74	22,805
	2	Baa3	0.00	726
Total investments				1,288,300
Total deposits and investments				\$1,294,463

# State System Pooled Deposits and Investments June 30, 2016 (in thousands)

	(in thous	sarius)		
	Fair Value Hierarchy Level	Moody's Rating (if applicable)	Modified Duration (if applicable)	Fair Value
Deposits		, ,,	· · · ·	
Demand and time deposits				\$28
Money market funds				5,296
Total deposits				5,324
Investments				
Commercial paper	2	P1	0.17	265,251
Government money market mutual fund	2	Aaa	0.00	79,979
U.S. government and agency obligations	2	Aaa	2.45	414,573
Asset-backed securities	2	Aaa	0.48	93,876
	2	P1	0.15	14,988
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	2	Aaa	2.15	193,070
Corporate bonds and notes	2	Aaa	1.34	6,197
	2	Aa1	1.33	6,740
	2	Aa2	1.41	9,670
	2	Aa3	1.51	3,332
	2	A1	1.26	63,506
	2	A2	1.33	66,345
	2	A3	2.54	36,713
	2	Baa1	1.91	27,436
	2	Baa2	0.99	21,771
	2	Baa3	0.00	727
Total investments				1,304,174
Total deposits and investments				\$1,309,498

Of the investments noted above at June 30, 2017 and 2016, \$17,381,000 and \$13,527,000, respectively, were held by a trustee to be used for projects funded under the Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority/State System of Higher Education bond issues (note 8). Such investments are made subject to the restrictions of the bond indenture and may be liquidated only for

the payment of costs associated with the projects described in the bond indenture.

#### University Local Deposits and Investments

The carrying values (fair values) of local university deposits and investments on June 30, 2017 and 2016, follow.

# University Local Deposits and Investments June 30, 2017

(in thousands)

_	Fair Value Hierarchy Level	Moody's Rating (if applicable)	Modified Duration (if applicable)	Fair Value
Deposits Demand and time deposits Certificates of deposit Total deposits			-	\$11,691 14 11,705
Investments				
U.S. government and agency obligations	2	N/A	0.51	100
Bond mutual funds	1		5.36	1,058
	2		5.61	1,321
	NAV		4.85	11,457
Debt securities	2	Aa1	4.20	25
	2	Aa2	0.99	55
Equity/balanced mutual funds	1			5,932
	2			5,993
	3			9,361
	NAV			21,692
Common stock	1			2,489
Total investments				59,483
Total deposits and investments			_ _	\$71,188

# University Local Deposits and Investments June 30, 2016

(in thousands)

_	Fair Value Hierarchy Level	Moody's Rating (if applicable)	Modified Duration (if applicable)	Fair Value
Deposits Demand and time deposits Certificates of deposit			_	\$15,220 14
Total deposits				15,234
Investments				
U.S. government and agency obligations	2	Aaa	0.47	164
Bond mutual funds	2		4.98	1,744
	NAV		4.43	11,143
Debt securities	2	AA	1.10	25
	2	Aa1	5.25	26
	2	Aa2	2.76	31
Equity/balanced mutual funds	1			2,385
, ,	2			3,345
	3			7,006
	NAV			23,267
Common stock	1			2,319
Total investments			<del>-</del>	51,455
Total deposits and investments				\$66,689

The universities are beneficiaries of trust funds held by others with an approximate fair value of \$4,316,000 and \$4,087,000 on June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Since the universities have neither possession nor control of these trusts, the principal is not included in the accompanying balance sheet.

### (3) CAPITAL ASSETS

The classifications of capital assets and related depreciation at June 30, 2017 and 2016, follow.

(in thousands)							
	Balance June 30, 2015	2015/16 Additions	2015/16 Retirements/ Adjustments	Balance June 30, 2016	2016/17 Additions	2016/17 Retirements/ Adjustments	Balance June 30, 2017
Land	\$32,360	=	-	\$32,360	=	-	\$32,360
Construction in progress	99,144	\$56,475	(\$75,607)	80,012	\$140,455	(\$37,164)	183,303
Total capital assets not being depreciated	131,504	56,475	(75,607)	112,372	140,455	(37,164)	215,663
Buildings, including improvements	2,056,185	101,691	55,587	2,213,463	209,277	9,975	2,432,715
Improvements other than buildings	274,779	7,472	2,885	285,136	6,383	6,678	298,197
Equipment and furnishings	454,128	24,278	(8,059)	470,347	25,208	(7,582)	487,973
Library books	81,940	869	(1,495)	81,314	731	(1,311)	80,734
Total capital assets being depreciated	2,867,032	134,310	48,918	3,050,260	241,599	7,760	3,299,619
Less accumulated depreciation:							
Buildings and improvements	(842,958)	(82,671)	8,923	(916,706)	(90,456)	11,118	(996,044)
Land improvements	(131,524)	(9,355)	1,593	(139,286)	(10,526)	100	(149,712)
Equipment and furnishings	(361,207)	(27,789)	9,681	(379,315)	(29,563)	13,182	(395,696)
Library books	(73,663)	(1,868)	1,495	(74,036)	(1,723)	1,311	(74,448)
Total accumulated depreciation	(1,409,352)	(121,683)	21,692	(1,509,343)	(132,268)	25,711	(1,615,900)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	1,457,680	12,627	70,610	1,540,917	109,331	33,471	1,683,719
Capital assets, net	\$1,589,184	\$69,102	(\$4,997)	\$1,653,289	\$249,786	(\$3,693)	\$1,899,382

## (4) LEASES

Total rent expense for the State System operating leases amounted to \$15,132,000 and \$15,955,000 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Capital assets acquired through leases that have been capitalized are as follows.

(in thousands)		
	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Cost:	2017	2010
Buildings	\$76,305	\$76,431
Equipment	3,648	3,074
Total	\$79,953	\$79,505
Accumulated Depreciation:		
Buildings .	\$42,302	\$38,027
Equipment	1,670	1,501
Total	\$43,972	\$39,528
•		

Future minimum payments, by year and in the aggregate, under capital and noncancelable operating leases, with initial or remaining terms of one year or more, are as follows.

(in thousands)	Operating Leases	Capital Leases
2018	\$8,748	\$5,768
2019	6,063	5,713
2020	5,089	5,404
2021	3,240	5,276
2022	2,369	5,035
Thereafter	65,975	32,479
Total minimum lease payments	\$91,484	59,675
Amount representing interest on capital leases		13,369
Present value of net minimum capital lease payments	-	\$46,306

Changes in the liability for capital leases in fiscal years 2017 and 2016 follow.

(in thous	sands)			
Year	Beginning Balance	Capital Lease Additions	Capital Lease Payments	Ending Balance
2016	\$51,592	\$431	\$3,377	\$48,646
2017	\$48.646	\$1,348	\$3,688	\$46,306

#### (5) PENSION BENEFITS

Employees of the State System enroll in one of three available retirement plans immediately upon employment: the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania State Employees' Retirement System (SERS), the Public School Employees' Retirement System (PSERS), or the Alternative Retirement Plan (ARP).

Following is the total of the State System's pension liabilities, deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions, and the pension expense for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

(in thousands)	SERS PSERS		AF	DD	Total			
	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net pension liabilities	\$931,620	\$858,417	\$90,838	\$80,220	\$0	\$0	\$1,022,458	\$938,637
Deferred outflows of resources:								
Difference between expected and actual experience	\$13,448	\$17,381	_	_	_	-	\$13,448	\$17,381
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	78,293	87,404	\$5,063	_	-	-	83,356	87,404
Changes in assumptions	56,905	25,503	3,279	_	-	_	60,184	25,503
Difference between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	-	_	555	\$568	_	-	555	568
Changes in proportion	14,478	-	2,576	3,550	-	_	17,054	3,550
Contributions after the measurement date	51,532	41,639	7,107	6,012	Ι	_	58,639	47,651
Total deferred outflows of resources	\$214,656	\$171,927	\$18,580	\$10,130	\$0	\$0	\$233,236	\$182,057
Deferred inflows of resources:								
Difference between expected and actual experience	20,844	_	\$757	\$331	_	-	\$21,601	\$331
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	-	-	-	162	-	-	-	162
Difference between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	\$4,323	\$2,389	-	-	-	-	4,323	2,389
Changes in proportion	19,754	26,207	662		_	-	20,416	26,207
Total deferred inflows of resources	\$44,921	\$28,596	\$1,419	\$493	\$0	\$0	\$46,340	\$29,089
Pension expense	\$130,551	\$103,982	\$20,223	\$16,035	\$45,343	\$43,933	\$196,117	\$163,950
Contributions recognized by pension plans	\$83,754	\$69,021	\$7,107	\$6,012	N/A	N/A	\$90,861	\$75,033

The State System will recognize the \$51,532,000 reported as 2017 SERS deferred outflows of resources resulting from pension contributions after the measurement date, and the \$7,107,000 reported as 2017 PSERS deferred outflows of resources resulting from pension contributions after the measurement date, as reductions of the respective net pension liabilities in the year ended June 30, 2018. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows.

(in	tl	าดเ	usa	nd	s

	Amortization				
Fiscal Year Ended	SERS	PSERS			
June 30, 2018	\$36,967	\$2,462			
June 30, 2019	\$36,967	\$2,462			
June 30, 2020	\$32,224	\$3,354			
June 30, 2021	\$10,710	\$1,776			
June 30, 2022	\$1,335	\$0			

#### **SERS**

#### Plan Description

SERS is the administrator of a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plan established by the Commonwealth to provide pension benefits for employees of state government and certain independent agencies. SERS is a component unit of the Commonwealth and is included in the Commonwealth's financial report as a pension trust fund. Membership in SERS is mandatory for most state employees. Members and employees of the General Assembly, certain elected or appointed officials in the executive branch, department heads, and certain employees in the field of education are not required, but are given the option, to participate. SERS issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the plan. A copy of the report may be obtained from the SERS website at www.sers.state.pa.us.

#### Benefits Provided

SERS provides retirement, death, and disability benefits. Article II of the Commonwealth's Constitution assigns the authority to establish and amend the benefit provision of the plan to the General Assembly. Cost of Living Adjustments (COLA) are provided ad hoc at the discretion of the General Assembly.

Employees who were hired prior to January 1, 2011, and retire at age 60 with three years of service, or with 35 years of service if under age 60, are entitled to a normal annual retirement benefit: members of the General Assembly and certain employees classified in hazardous duty positions can retire with full benefits at age 50 with at least three years of service. Act 120 of 2010 (Act 120) preserved all benefits in place for members, but mandated a number of benefit reductions for new members effective January 1, 2011. The benefit reduction included a new class of membership that accrues benefits at 2% of members' final average salary instead of the previous 2.5%. The vesting period changed from 5 to 10 years of credited service, and the option to withdraw lump-sum accumulated deductions was eliminated. The new normal retirement age is 65 for most employees and 55 for members of the General Assembly and certain employees classified in hazardous duty positions.

According to the State Employees' Retirement Code (SERC), all obligations of SERS will be assumed by the Commonwealth should SERS terminate.

#### **Contributions**

The contribution rate for both active members and the State System depends upon when the active member was hired and what benefits class was selected. Section 5507 of the SERC (71 Pa. C.S. §5507) requires the Commonwealth and other employers whose employees are SERS members to make contributions on behalf of all active members and annuitants to fund the liabilities and provide the annuity reserves required to pay benefits. The SERS funding policy, as set by the SERS Board, provides for periodic active member contributions at statutory rates. The SERS funding policy also provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates based on SERS' funding valuation, expressed as a percentage of annual retirement covered payroll, such that the employer contributions, along with employee contributions and an actuarially determined rate of investment return, are adequate to accumulate assets to pay benefits when due. Act 120, however, imposed rate increase collars (limits on annual rate increases) on employer contributions. The collar for Commonwealth fiscal year 2015/16 was 4.5% and will no longer apply effective July 1, 2017.

The State System contributed at actuarially determined rates of between 20.70% and 29.95% of active members' annual covered payroll at June 30, 2017. The State System's contributions to SERS for the years ended June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, were \$83,754,000, \$69,021,000, and \$57,234,000, respectively, equal to the required contractual contribution.

Contribution rates for most active members is 6.25% of gross salary. The contribution rate for other members ranges between 5% and 9.3% of salary, depending upon when the member was hired and what class of membership was elected.

#### **Assumptions**

The total SERS pension liabilities used to calculate the net pension liabilities were determined by actuarial valuations as of December 31, 2016, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement.

- Entry age actuarial cost method.
- Straight-line amortization of investments over five years and amortization of assumption changes and noninvestment gains/losses over the average expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided benefits.
- Inflation of 2.60%.
- Investment return of 7.25%, net of expenses and including inflation.
- Salary increases based on an average of 5.60%, with a range of 3.70% to 8.90%, including inflation.
- Asset valuation using fair (market) value.
- Mortality rates based on the projected RP-2000 Mortality Tables, adjusted for actual plan experience and future improvement.
- Ad hoc cost of living adjustments (COLAs).

Some of the methods and assumptions mentioned above are based on the 18th Investigation of Actuarial Experience, an actuarial experience study conducted by SERS to determine whether the assumptions used in its annual actuarial valuations remain accurate based on current and anticipated demographic trends and economic conditions. Published in March 2016, it analyzed experience from 2011 through 2015. The actuary, under oversight of the SERS Board, reviewed economic assumptions (such as the assumed future investment returns and salary increases) as well as demographic assumptions (such as employee turnover, retirement, disability, and death rates). Some assumption adjustments increased projected

cost and some decreased projected cost, but the overall result was a slight increase to the net pension liability.

The long-term expected real rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of manager fees and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in SERS' current and target asset allocation as of December 31, 2016, are summarized below.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Real Rate of Return
Private equity	16.00%	8.00%
Global public equity	43.00%	5.30%
Real assets	12.00%	5.44%
Hedge funds	12.00%	4.75%
Fixed income	14.00%	1.63%
Cash	3.00%	(0.25)%
	100.00%	

The discount rate used to measure the total SERS pension liability was 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee contributions will be made at the rates applicable for each member and that employer contributions will be made based on rates determined by the actuary and as set by statute. Based on those assumptions, SERS' fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and non-active SERS members. The long-term expected rate of return on SERS' investments, therefore, was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The following presents the State System's proportionate share of the SERS net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the SERS net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.25%) or one

percentage point higher (8.25%) than the current rate.

# Sensitivity of the State System's Proportionate Share of the SERS Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

(in thousands)

1% Decrease 6.25%	Current Rate 7.25%	1% Increase 8.25%
\$1,152,923	\$931,620	\$742,106

#### Fiduciary Net Position

The fiduciary net positions of SERS, as well as additions to and deductions from SERS fiduciary net positions, have been determined on the same basis as they are reported in the SERS financial statements, which can be found at www.sers.state.pa.us. The plan schedules of SERS are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and economic resources measurement focus in accordance with U.S. GAAP as prescribed by GASB. Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions are recognized when due and the employer has a legal requirement to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Investment purchases and sales are recorded on a trade-date basis. Detailed information on investment valuation can be found in the SERS financial statements. Management of SERS has made certain estimates and assumptions relating to employer allocation schedules, and actual results could differ.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions
At June 30, 2017, the amount recognized as the State System's proportionate share of the SERS net pension liability, measured at December 31, 2016, was \$931,620,000. At June 30, 2016, the amount recognized as the State System's proportionate share of the SERS net pension liability, measured at December 31, 2015, was \$858,417,000.

The allocation percentage assigned to each participating employer is based on a projected-contribution method. For the allocation of the 2016 amounts, this methodology applies the most recently calculated contribution rates for fiscal year 2017/18, from the December 31, 2016, funding valuation, to the expected funding payroll. For the allocation of the 2015 amounts, this methodology

applies the most recently calculated contribution rates for fiscal year 2016/17, from the December 31, 2015, funding valuation, to the expected funding payroll. At the December 31, 2016, measurement date, the State System's proportion was 4.837%, an increase of 0.12% from its proportion calculated as of the December 31, 2015, measurement date.

#### **PSERS**

#### Plan Description

PSERS is a governmental cost-sharing multipleemployer defined benefit pension plan that provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to public school employees of the Commonwealth. The members eligible to participate in PSERS include all full-time public school employees, part-time hourly public school employees who render at least 500 hours of service in the school year, and part-time per diem public school employees who render at least 80 days of service in the school year in any of the reporting entities in Pennsylvania. The Public School Employees' Retirement Code (Act No. 96 of October 2, 1975, as amended) (24 Pa. C.S. §§8101–8535) (the Code) is the authority by which PSERS benefits provisions and contribution requirements are established. The Commonwealth's General Assembly has the authority to amend the benefit terms by passing bills in the Senate and House of Representatives and sending them to the Governor for approval. The Code requires contributions by active members, the employer (State System), and the Commonwealth. PSERS is a component unit of the Commonwealth and is included in the Commonwealth's financial report as a pension trust fund. PSERS issues a comprehensive annual financial report that may be obtained at www.psers.state.pa.us.

## Benefits Provided

Members who joined prior to July 1, 2011, are eligible for monthly retirement benefits upon reaching age 62 with at least one year of credited service, age 60 with 30 or more years of credited service, or any age with 35 or more years of service. Act 120 preserved the benefits of members who joined prior to July 1, 2011, and introduced benefit reductions for individuals who become new members on or after July 1, 2011, by creating two new membership classes: Class T-E and Class T-F. To qualify for normal retirement, Class T-E and Class T-F members must complete a minimum of 35 years of service with a combination of age and service that totals 92 or greater, or they must work

until age 65 with a minimum of three years of service.

Depending upon membership class, benefits are generally 2% or 2.5% of the member's final average salary (as defined in the Code) multiplied by the number of years of credited service. Members who joined prior to July 1, 2011, vest after completion of five years of service and may elect early retirement benefits. Class T-E and Class T-F members vest after completion of 10 years of service.

Participants are eligible for disability retirement benefits after completion of five years of credited service. Such benefits are generally equal to 2% or 2.5%, depending upon membership class, of the member's final average salary (as defined in the Code) multiplied by the number of years of credited service, but not less than one-third of such salary nor greater than the benefit the member would have had at normal retirement age. Members over normal retirement age may apply for disability benefits.

Death benefits are payable upon the death of an active member who has reached age 62 with at least one year of credited service (age 65 with at least three years of credited service for Class T-E and Class T-F members) or has at least five years of credited service (10 years for Class T-E and Class T-F members). Such benefits are actuarially equivalent to the benefit that would have been effective if the member had retired on the day before death.

#### Member Contributions

Active members who joined PSERS prior to July 22, 1983, contribute at 5.25% (Class T-C members) or at 6.50% (Class T-D members) of the member's qualifying compensation. Members who joined PSERS on or after July 22, 1983, and who were active or inactive as of July 1, 2001, contribute at 6.25% (Class T-C) or at 7.5% (Class T-D) of the member's qualifying compensation. Members who joined PSERS after June 30, 2001, and before July 1, 2011, contribute at 7.5% (Class T-D). For these hires and for members who elected Class T-D, the 7.5% contribution rate began with service rendered on or after January 1, 2002. Members who joined PSERS after June 30, 2011, contribute at the rate of 7.5% (Class T-E) or 10.3% (Class T-F) of their qualifying compensation. Class T-E and Class T-F members are subject to a "shared risk" provision in Act 120 that could cause

the rate in future years to fluctuate between 7.5% and 9.5% for Class T-E and 10.3% and 12.3% for Class T-F.

#### **Employer Contributions**

The State System's contractually required contribution rate for PSERS for fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, was 29.2% of covered payroll, actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions, is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. Per §8327 of the Code, the Commonwealth is required to contribute 50% of the contribution rate directly to PSERS on behalf of the State System, meaning that the amount that the State System actually contributed was 14.6% of covered payroll. The State System's contribution to PSERS for the year ending June 30, 2017, June 30, 2016, and June 30, 2015 was \$7,107,000, \$6,012,000, and \$5,236,000, respectively, equal to the required contractual contribution.

#### Actuarial Assumptions

The total PSERS pension liability as of June 30, 2016, was determined by rolling forward PSERS' total pension liability as of the June 30, 2015, actuarial valuation to June 30, 2016, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement.

- Actuarial cost method is entry age normal, level percent of pay.
- Inflation of 2.75%.
- Investment return of 7.25%, including inflation.
- Salary increases based on an effective average of 5.0%, which comprises a 2.75% allowance for inflation and 2.25% for real wage growth and merit or seniority increases.
- Mortality rates based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Tables (male and female) with age set back three years for both males and females; for disabled annuitants, the RP-2000 Combined Disabled Tables (male and female) with age set back seven years for males and three years for females.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2016, valuation were based on the experience study that was performed for the five-year period ending June 30, 2015. The recommended assumption changes based on this experience study were adopted by the PSERS Board of Trustees at its June 10, 2016, meeting and were

effective beginning with the June 30, 2016, actuarial valuation.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

PSERS' policy in regard to the allocation of invested plan assets is established and may be amended by the PSERS Board of Trustees. Plan assets are managed with a long-term objective of achieving and maintaining a fully funded status for the benefits provided through the pension. Following is the PSERS Board of Trustees' adopted asset allocation policy and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class as of June 30, 2016.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Global public equity	22.5%	5.3%
Fixed income	28.5%	2.1%
Commodities	8.0%	2.5%
Absolute return	10.0%	3.3%
Risk parity	10.0%	3.9%
Infrastructure/MLPs	5.0%	4.8%
Real estate	12.0%	4.0%
Alternative investments	15.0%	6.6%
Cash	3.0%	0.2%
Financing (LIBOR)	(14.0%)	0.5%
	100.0%	

The discount rate used to measure the total PSERS pension liability was 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rate and that contributions from employers will be made at contractually required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, PSERS' fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of

return on PSERS' investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The following presents the State System's proportionate share of the PSERS net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the PSERS net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.25%) or one percentage point higher (8.25%) than the current rate.

# Sensitivity of the State System's Proportionate Share of the PSERS Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

	(in thousands)	
1% Decrease 6.25%	Current Rate 7.25%	1% Increase 8.25%
\$111,119	\$90,838	\$73,795

#### Fiduciary Net Position

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and pension expense, the fiduciary net position of PSERS and additions to or deductions from PSERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported in the PSERS' financial statements. For this purpose, benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions, are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. Detailed information about PSERS' fiduciary net position is available in the PSERS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, which can be found at <a href="https://www.psers.state.pa.us">www.psers.state.pa.us</a>.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions The amount recognized as the State System's

proportionate share of the PSERS net pension liability, plus the related PSERS pension support provided by the Commonwealth, is as follows.

(in thousands)		
	2017	2016
Total PSERS net pension liability associated with the State System	\$181,676	\$160,440
Commonwealth's proportionate share of the PSERS net pension liability associated with the State System	(00,000)	(00.000)
······································	(90,838)	(80,220)
State System's proportionate share of the PSERS net pension liability	\$90,838	\$80,220

PSERS measured the net pension liability as of June 30, 2016. The total PSERS pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by rolling forward the total pension liability calculated as of June 30, 2015, to June 30, 2016. PSERS calculated the employer's proportion of the net pension liability using the employer's one-year reported covered payroll in relation to all participating employers' one-year reported covered payroll. At June 30, 2016, the State System's proportion was .1833%, a decrease of .0019% from its proportion calculated as of June 30, 2015.

## ARP

The ARP is a defined contribution plan administered by the State System. Benefits equal amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. Act 188 empowers the Board to establish and amend benefits provisions. The State Employees' Retirement Code establishes the employer contribution rate for the ARP, while the Board establishes the employee contribution rates. Active members contribute at a rate of 5% of their qualifying compensation. The State System recognizes annual pension expenditures equal to its contractually required contributions to the plan. The State System's contribution rate on June 30, 2017 and 2016, was 9.29% of qualifying compensation. The contributions to the ARP for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, were \$45,343,000 and \$43,933,000, respectively, from the State System; and \$25,492,000 and \$24,022,000, respectively, from active members. No liability is recognized for the ARP.

#### (6) POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

State System employees who retire after meeting specified service and age requirements become eligible for participation in one of two defined healthcare benefits plans, referred to here as the *System Plan* and the *Retired Employees Health Program*. These plans include hospital,

medical/surgical, and major medical coverage, and provide a Medicare supplement for individuals over age 65.

#### System Plan

Plan Description

Employee members of the Association of Pennsylvania State College and University Faculties (APSCUF), the State College and University Professional Association (SCUPA), Security Police and Fire Professionals of America (SPFPA). Office and Professional Employees International Union (OPEIU), and nonrepresented employees participate in a single-employer defined benefits healthcare plan administered by the State System (System Plan). The System Plan provides eligible retirees and their eligible dependents with healthcare benefits and tuition waivers at any of the 14 State System universities. Act 188 empowers the Board to establish and amend benefits provisions. The System Plan has no plan assets and no financial report is prepared.

#### Funding Policy

The contribution requirements of plan members and the State System are established and may be amended by the Board. The System Plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis; i.e., premiums are paid to an insurance company and various health maintenance organizations to fund the healthcare benefits provided to current retirees. Tuition waivers are provided by the retiree's sponsoring university as they are granted. The State System paid premiums of \$39,241,000 and \$40,060,000 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Plan members receiving benefits contribute at various rates, depending upon when they retire, whether they are eligible for Medicare, the contribution rate in effect on the day of their retirement, the contribution rate for active employees, and applicable collective bargaining agreements. Following are the contribution rates of plan members as of June 30, 2017.

- Eligible plan members receiving benefits who retired prior to July 1, 2005, are not required to make contributions.
- Nonfaculty coaches who retired on or after July 1, 2005, pay a percentage of their final annual gross salary at the time of retirement.
- Eligible annuitants who retired on or after July 1, 2005, and prior to July 1, 2008, and who are under age 65 pay the same dollar amount they paid as active employees on the day of retirement. When these annuitants become

eligible for Medicare, they pay 18% of the current cost of their Medicare coverage and current cost of coverage for covered dependents. The rate changes annually, and future adjustments will apply if contributions increase for active employees.

- Eligible annuitants who retire on or after July 1, 2008, pay 18% of the plan premium in effect for active employees on their retirement date.
   Future adjustments will apply if contributions increase for active employees.
- Employee members of SPFPA, OPEIU, and SCUPA, and nonrepresented employees, hired after January 15, 2016, receive no postretirement benefits.

Total contributions made by plan members were \$5,558,000 and \$4,866,000, or approximately 12.4% and 10.8% of the total premiums, for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation The State System's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost is calculated based on the annual required contribution (ARC) of the employer, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid annually, is projected to cover normal cost plus the annual portion of the unfunded actuarial liability amortized over 30 years. The following shows the components of the State System's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the State System's net OPEB obligation.

(in thousands)		
	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Annual required contribution	\$97,652	\$102,000
Interest on net OPEB obligation	47,032	44,690
Adjustment to ARC	(66,999)	(58,736)
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	77,685	87,954
Contributions made	(39,240)	(40,060)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	38,445	47,894
Net OPEB obligation at July 1	1,106,643	1,058,749
Net OPEB obligation at June 30	\$1,145,088	\$1,106,643

The State System's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the

plan, and the net OPEB obligation for June 30, 2017, and the two preceding years were as follows.

(in thousands)			
		Percentage of Annual	
Fiscal Year	Annual	OPEB Cost	Net OPEB
Ended	OPEB Cost	Contributed	Obligation
June 30, 2015	\$88,547	41.6%	\$1,058,749
June 30, 2016	\$87,954	45.6%	\$1,106,643
June 30, 2017	\$77,686	50.5%	\$1,145,088

Funded Status and Funding Progress
The funded status of the plan as of July 1, 2016, the most recent actuarial valuation date, was as follows.

(in thousands)	
Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)	\$1,106,763
Actuarial value of plan assets	0
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$1,106,763
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets/AAL)	0%
Covered payroll (active plan members)	\$592,245
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	187%

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions
Projections of benefits for financial reporting
purposes are based on the substantive plan (the
plan as understood by the employer and the plan
members) and include the types of benefits
provided at the time of each valuation and the
historical pattern of sharing of benefits costs
between the employer and plan members to that

point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the July 1, 2016, actuarial valuation, the projected unit credit method was used with a 4.25% investment rate of return, which is the rate expected to be earned on the State System's operating portfolio. The healthcare cost trend rate used was 6.5% in 2016, 6.0% in 2017, and 5.5% in 2018 through 2020, with rates gradually decreasing from 5.4% in 2021 to 3.8% in 2075 and later based on the Society of Actuaries Long-Run Medical Cost Trend Model. The UAAL is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period at July 1, 2016, was 19 years.

## Retired Employees Health Program

Plan Description

Employee members of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), Pennsylvania Doctors Alliance (PDA), and Pennsylvania Social Services Union (PSSU) participate in the Retired Employees Health Program (REHP), which is sponsored by the Commonwealth and administered by the Pennsylvania Employee Benefits Trust Fund (PEBTF). The REHP provides eligible retirees and their eligible dependents with healthcare benefits. Benefits provisions are established and may be amended under pertinent statutory authority. The REHP neither issues a stand-alone financial report nor is it included in the report of a public employee retirement system or other entity.

### **Funding Policy**

The contribution requirements of plan members covered under collective bargaining agreements are established by the collective bargaining agreements. The contribution requirements of nonrepresented plan members and contributing entities are established and may be amended by the Commonwealth's Office of Administration and the Governor's Budget Office. Plan members who enrolled prior to July 1, 2005, are not required to make contributions. Plan members who enrolled after July 1, 2005, contribute a percentage of their final salary, the rate of which varies based on the plan member's enrollment date. Agency member (employer) contributions are established primarily

on a pay-as-you-go basis. In fiscal year 2016/17, the State System contributed \$362 for each current active employee per biweekly pay period. The State System made contributions of \$31,875,000, \$37,026,000, and \$30,765,000 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively, equal to the required contributions for the year. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

## (7) WORKERS' COMPENSATION

The State System is self-insured for workers' compensation losses. For claims occurring prior to July 1, 1995, State System universities must pay up to \$100,000; for claims occurring on or after July 1, 1995, State System universities must pay up to \$200,000. Claims in excess of the self-insurance limits are funded through the Workers' Compensation Collective Reserve Fund (Reserve Fund), to which all State System universities contribute an amount determined by an independent actuarial study. Based on updated actuarial studies, the universities were given a refund of \$567,000 from the Reserve Fund during the year ended June 30, 2017, and contributed \$372,000 and \$1,405,000 to the Reserve Fund during the years ended June 30, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

For the years ended June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the aggregate liability for claims under the self-insurance limit was \$8,554,000, \$9,345,000, and \$9,825,000, respectively. The Reserve Fund assets of \$11,814,000, \$12,746,000, and \$12,724,000 are equal to the liability for claims in excess of the self-insurance limits for the years ended June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively. Changes in the workers' compensation claims liability in fiscal years 2017, 2016, and 2015 follow.

(in thou	ısands)			
		Current Year Claims and		
Year	Beginning Balance	Changes in Estimates	Claim Payments	Ending Balance
2015	\$21,710	\$5,177	\$4,337	\$22,550
2016	\$22,550	\$3,465	\$3,924	\$22,091
2017	\$22,091	\$2,313	\$4,037	\$20,367

#### (8) COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Changes in the compensated absences liability in fiscal years 2017 and 2016 are as follows.

(in thou	sands)			
	Beginning	Current Changes in	Less	Ending
Year	Balance	Estimates	Payouts	Balance
2016	\$114,640	\$9,799	\$9,016	\$115,423
2017	\$115,423	\$13,776	\$9,673	\$119,526

## (9) BONDS PAYABLE

Bonds payable on June 30, 2017 and 2016, consisted of several outstanding tax-exempt revenue bond series issued by the Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority (PHEFA). In connection with the bond issuance, the State System entered into a loan agreement with PHEFA under which the State System has pledged its full faith and credit for the repayment of the bonds. The loan constitutes an unsecured general obligation of the State System. The bonds were issued to provide funds to undertake various capital projects at the universities or to advance refund certain previously issued bonds.

Activity for the various bond series for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, was as follows.

#### Bonds Payable June 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands)

Description	Current Portion  - \$11,105 4,890 2,070 6,080
Series AF issued July 2007, final maturity June 2037 \$68,230 5.00% \$52,220 - \$52,220 Series AG issued March 2008, final maturity June 2024 101,335 4.75% 59,630 - 11,485 \$48,145 - \$12,075 \$36,070 Series AH issued July 2008, final maturity June 2038 140,760 4.65% 114,785 - 4,435 110,350 - 4,655 105,695 Series AI issued August 2008, final maturity June 2025 32,115 4.24% 19,880 - 1,910 17,970 - 1,990 15,980 Series AJ issued July 2009,	\$11,105 4,890 2,070
final maturity June 2037 \$68,230 5.00% \$52,220 - \$52,220	4,890 2,070
final maturity June 2024 101,335 4.75% 59,630 - 11,485 \$48,145 - \$12,075 \$36,070 Series AH issued July 2008, final maturity June 2038 140,760 4.65% 114,785 - 4,435 110,350 - 4,655 105,695 Series AI issued August 2008, final maturity June 2025 32,115 4.24% 19,880 - 1,910 17,970 - 1,990 15,980 Series AJ issued July 2009,	4,890 2,070
final maturity June 2038 140,760 4.65% 114,785 - 4,435 110,350 - 4,655 105,695 Series AI issued August 2008, final maturity June 2025 32,115 4.24% 19,880 - 1,910 17,970 - 1,990 15,980 Series AJ issued July 2009,	2,070
final maturity June 2025 32,115 4.24% 19,880 - 1,910 17,970 - 1,990 15,980 Series AJ issued July 2009,	,
	6.090
	0.000
Series AK issued Sept. 2009, final maturity June 2024 47,310 4.00% 28,445 - 3,910 24,535 - 4,080 20,455	4,220
Series AL issued July 2010, final maturity June 2035 135,410 5.00% 82,355 - 7,935 74,420 - 8,000 66,420	5,955
Series AM issued July 2011, final maturity June 2036 119,085 4.62% 103,970 - 4,420 99,550 - 4,655 94,895	4,900
Series AN issued March 2012, final maturity June 2023 76,810 5.00% 71,365 - 8,235 63,130 - 9,430 53,700	9,705
Series AO issued July 2013, final maturity June 2038 30,915 4.39% 28,955 - 1,075 27,880 - 1,105 26,775	1,130
Series AP issued May 2014, final maturity June 2024 46,110 4.55% 43,425 - 2,940 40,485 - 1,200 39,285	1,240
Series AQ issued May 2015, final maturity June 2036 94,975 4.68% 94,975 - 1,880 93,095 - 6,685 86,410	7,480
Series AR issued Sept. 2015,	
final maturity June 2040 102,365 3.98% - \$102,365 1,820 100,545 - 2,475 98,070 Series AS issued June 2016,	2,595
Series AS issued Julie 2016, final maturity June 2037 47,280 3.75% - 47,280 - 47,280 - 850 46,430 Series AT issued Sept. 2016,	2,135
final maturity June 2055	7,100
Total \$1,464,795 \$800,455 \$149,645 \$107,510 \$842,590 \$298,110 \$67,785 \$1,072,915	\$70,605

THIS DAI AND INCIDENTIFICATION OF THE CONTROL OF TH	Principal and interest r	equirements to maturit	v are as follows.
--	--------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

(in thousands)			
	Principal	Interest	Total
2018	\$70,605	\$47,403	\$118,008
2019	75,360	44,096	119,456
2020	83,055	40,605	123,660
2021	67,165	36,768	103,933
2022	66,665	33,731	100,396
2023-2027	283,415	121,775	405,190
2028-2032	186,885	67,642	254,527
2033–2037	140,410	32,013	172,423
2038-2042	83,235	10,120	93,355
2043-2047	10,720	1,996	12,716
2048-2052	3,340	1,032	4,372
2053–2055	2,060	192	2,252
Total	\$1,072,915	\$437,373	\$1,510,288

#### (10) RATING ACTIONS

The State System's outstanding bonds are assigned an Aa3 rating from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and an AA- rating from Fitch Ratings. In August 2017, both Moody's and Fitch revised their outlooks for the ratings from *stable* to *negative*.

# (11) DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

The classifications of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources at June 30, 2017 and 2016, follow.

(in thousands)		
	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Deferred Outflows of Resources		
Net pension liability related (see note 5)	\$233,236	\$182,057
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt	7,872	9,164
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$241,108	\$191,221
Deferred Inflows of Resources Net pension liability related (see		
note 5)	\$46,340	\$29,089
Unamortized gain on refunding of debt Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	934	1,073
	\$47,274	\$30,162

#### (12) CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

#### **Contingencies**

The nature of the educational industry is such that, from time to time, the State System is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; alleged negligence; acts of discrimination; breach of contract; labor disputes; disagreements arising from the interpretation of laws or regulations; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. While some of these claims may be for substantial amounts, they are not unusual in the ordinary course of providing educational services in a higher education system.

The State System receives support from federal and Commonwealth grant programs, primarily for student financial assistance. Entitlement to the resources requires compliance with terms of the grant agreements and applicable regulations, including the expenditure of the resources for eligible purposes. Substantially all grants are subject to financial and compliance audits by the grantors. As of June 30, 2017, the State System estimates that adjustments, if any, as a result of such audits would not have a material adverse effect on the accompanying financial statements, with the exception of potential adjustments related to Cheyney University of Pennsylvania, as detailed in the following section.

#### Cheyney University of Pennsylvania

In November 2015, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education (Middle States) placed Cheyney University on probation "because of insufficient evidence that the institution is currently in compliance with Standard 3 (Institutional Resources)." Standard 3 requires, among other things, that an institution demonstrate that it has sufficient resources to support both its programs of study and students' academic progress. The university was given two years to address the deficiencies noted by Middle States. As required, the university submitted monitoring reports that documented its plan for remediation. In June 2017, Middle States required Chevney to show cause, by September 1, 2017, as to why its accreditation should not be withdrawn. Cheyney timely submitted the required detailed operating plan to Middle States. A decision by Middle States as to whether or not to renew Cheyney University's accreditation is expected by November 17, 2017.

To help the university secure continued accreditation, in August 2017, the Board approved a motion that it will forgive more than \$30 million in loans, made to Cheyney from the 13 other universities and the Office of the Chancellor, if the university demonstrates fiscal stability. One-third will be forgiven when Cheyney cuts \$7.5 million from its fiscal year 2017/18 budget and maintains a balanced budget for fiscal year 2018/19. The next two-thirds will be forgiven when Cheyney demonstrates a balanced budget in each of the following two fiscal years.

In August 2015, Chevney University voluntarily selfreported to the U.S. Department of Education (ED) that \$29.6 million of federal student financial aid was improperly administered and delivered in fiscal years 2011/12, 2012/13, and 2013/14, covering almost 4,400 student records. The State System has been engaged with the ED since reporting these findings. On April 3, 2017, the university received a response from the ED requiring the university to take specified corrective actions, including submitting file reviews, reconciliations, and updated policies and procedures, and further requiring that Cheyney engage an independent Certified Public Accountant to attest to the file reviews and reconciliations. The university was given 90 days to respond to the request but asked for and was granted an extension to respond until December 31, 2017.

In September 2015 the ED placed the university on Heightened Cash Monitoring 2 (HCM2) status,

meaning that the university does not receive federal student financial aid funds in advance, but must use its own cash to grant federal financial aid to its students and then request reimbursement from the ED. After demonstrating compliance with HCM2 requirements, the university received its spring 2016 federal student aid funds in July, but has not yet received its fall 2016 and spring 2017 federal student aid funds, which total \$8.9 million. The delay in receipt of funds exacerbates the university's severe cash shortage.

In January 2016, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) notified the State System that it was investigating the application and use of federal student financial aid by Cheyney University and the oversight of the university by the State System. The DOJ requested that the university and State System preserve and produce certain documents. The State System has fully complied with the DOJ's request. No action has been taken at this point by the DOJ, and the possible resulting outcomes from the investigation are uncertain until communication is received from the DOJ.

The university has made cuts to administration and staff, reorganized business and campus operations. and renegotiated contracts with vendors. To help balance the budget and better align academic programs with the future of the university, Cheyney will consider placing some academic programs into moratorium, meaning that they will not accept any new students into the programs. To further this plan, the Board approved limited policy exemptions for Chevney so that the programs that are placed into moratorium can be immediately discontinued, rather than requiring the programs to remain open until all students in the program have graduated. Instead, affected students will be provided the opportunity either to complete another program at Cheyney or transfer to a similar program at any of the other 13 State System universities to complete their degree. Affected faculty members would continue to teach through the end of the spring 2018 semester and would have preferential hiring rights to vacancies posted at Cheyney and the other 13 universities.

#### Insurance

The State System is self-insured for workers' compensation up to stated limits (note 7). For all other risks of loss, the State System pays annual premiums to the Commonwealth to participate in its Risk Management Program. The State System does not participate in any public entity risk pools, and

does not retain risk related to any aforementioned exposure, except for those amounts incurred relative to policy deductibles that are not significant. The State System has not significantly reduced any of its insurance coverage from the prior year. Settled claims have not significantly exceeded the State System's insurance coverage in any of the past three years. It is not expected that the resolution of any outstanding claims and litigation will have a material adverse effect on the accompanying financial statements.

#### **Construction Commitments**

Authorized expenditures for construction projects unexpended as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, were approximately \$52,802,000 and \$96,716,000, respectively.

#### (13) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In September 2017, PHEFA issued Series AU-1 taxexempt revenue bonds in the amount of \$36,625,000, Series AU-2 tax-exempt revenue bonds in the amount of \$76,490,000, and Series AU-3 taxable revenue bonds in the amount of \$15,145,000. The net proceeds from the Series AU-1 revenue bonds were used to finance capital projects at several universities. The net proceeds from the Series AU-2 and AU-3 revenue bonds were used to advance refund a portion of the Series AH revenue bonds. The refunding was performed to reduce debt service by approximately \$12,700,000 and resulted in an economic gain (difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of \$12,000,000. In connection with the bond issuance. the State System entered into a loan agreement with PHEFA under which the State System pledged its full faith and credit for repayment of bonds.

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Unaudited)

# Schedule of Funding Progress for the System Plan (OPEB)

(in thousands)

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ([b-a]/c)
July 1, 2013	\$0	\$1,473,632	\$1,473,632	0%	\$583,755	252%
July 1, 2014	\$0	\$1,194,849	\$1,194,849	0%	\$589,917	203%
July 1, 2016	\$0	\$1,106,763	\$1,106,763	0%	\$592,245	187%

# **Schedule of Funding Progress for the REHP (OPEB)**

(in thousands)

The information below relates to the Commonwealth's REHP as a whole; i.e., it is inclusive of all participating Commonwealth agencies and instrumentalities. Nearly all Commonwealth agencies and instrumentalities participate in the REHP.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ([b-a]/c)
July 1, 2013	\$82,060	\$13,234,040	\$13,151,980	.62%	\$4,264,000	308%
January 1, 2015	\$144,744	\$16,134,419	\$15,989,675	.90%	\$4,289,000	373%
January 1, 2017	\$313,226	\$16,546,732	\$16,233,506	1.90%	\$4,485,000	362%

# Schedule of Proportionate Share of SERS Net Pension Liability (NPL)

Determined as of SERS December 31 measurement date (in thousands)

Fiscal Year	State System's Proportion	State System's Proportionate Share	State System's Covered- Employee Payroll	State System's Proportionate Share of NPL as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll	SERS Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of Total Pension Liability
2014/15	4.901%	\$728,094	\$296,967	245%	64.8%
2015/16	4.721%	\$858,417	\$297,714	288%	58.9%
2016/17	4.837%	\$931,620	\$300,803	310%	57.8%

## **SERS Schedule of Contributions**

Determined as of State System June 30 fiscal year end (in thousands)

	Contractually		Contribution	Covered-	Contributions as a	
Fiscal Year	Required Contributions	Contributions Recognized by SERS	Deficiency (Excess)	Employee Payroll	Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll	
2014/15	\$57,234	\$57,234	\$0	\$293,506	19.50%	
2015/16	\$69,021	\$69,021	\$0	\$291,594	23.67%	
2016/17	\$83,754	\$83,754	\$0	\$301,828	27.75%	

# Schedule of Proportionate Share of PSERS Net Pension Liability (NPL)

Determined as of PSERS June 30 measurement date (in thousands)

		PSERS Net Pe	ension Liability	_	State System's Proportionate Share of NPL	PSERS Fiduciary Net	
Fiscal Year	State System's Proportion	State System's Proportionate Share	Commonwealth's Proportionate Share	Total	State System's Covered- Employee Payroll	as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll	Position as a Percentage of Total Pension Liability
2014/15	.1785%	\$70,650	\$70,650	\$141,350	\$45,552	155%	57.2%
2015/16	.1852%	\$80,220	\$80,220	\$160,440	\$47,670	168%	54.4%
2016/17	.1833%	\$90,838	\$90,838	\$181,676	\$47,485	200%	50.1%

## **PSERS Schedule of Contributions**

Determined as of State System June 30 fiscal year end (in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Contractually Required Contributions	Contributions Recognized by PSERS	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Covered- Employee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll
2014/15	\$5,236	\$5,236	\$0	\$51,086	10.25%
2015/16	\$6,012	\$6,012	\$0	\$48,419	12.41%
2016/17	\$7,107	\$7,107	\$0	\$49,518	14.35%





# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Governors Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units, of Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education ("the State System"), a component unit of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the State System's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 26, 2017. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of certain of the discretely presented component units, which represent 82.3% of the total assets, 85.9% of the total net assets, and 79.6% of the total revenues of the discretely presented component units, as described in our report on the State System's financial statements. The financial statements of the discretely presented component units were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the State System's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the State System's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State System's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the State System's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania September 26, 2017

# PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

# **SINGLE AUDIT REPORT**

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

# PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT TABLE OF CONTENTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER	
COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE	1
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	4
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	23
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	27
APPENDIX A – MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO CURRENT AND PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS	38

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Board of Governors Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education's ("the State System") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the State System's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The State System's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The State System's basic financial statements include the operations of discretely presented component units. Federal awards received by the discretely presented component units are not included in the State System's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended June 30, 2017. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the discretely presented component units because they did not receive federal awards or they engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the State System's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the State System's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.



We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the State System's compliance.

## Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the State System complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

#### Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2017-002 through 2017-009. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The State System's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Appendix A – Management's Responses to Current Year Findings. The State System's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

## **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the State System is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the State System's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State System's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2017-001 through 2017-009 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

The State System's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Appendix A – Management's Responses to Current Year Findings. The State System's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units of the State System, a component unit of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the State System's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated September 26, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements of certain of the discretely presented component units, which represent 82.3%, 85.9%, and 79.6%, respectively, of the 2017 assets, net assets, and revenues of the discretely presented component units. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose reports have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the discretely presented component units, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania March 26, 2018

# PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Federal CFDA Number	Th	assed rough to recipients	Federal Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Education Clusters					
Student Financial Assistance Cluster					
Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants	84.007	\$	-	\$	3,320,687
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033		-		5,420,494
Federal Perkins Loan Program	84.038		-		45,310,467
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063		-		134,269,689
Federal Direct Student Loans	84.268		-		728,967,236
Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants	84.379		-		269,234
Federal Nursing Loan Program	93.364				523,490
Total Student Financial Assistance Cluster			-		918,081,297
TRIO Cluster			-		5,234,136
<u>Other</u>					
Total Research and Development Cluster			-		3,521,853
Total Other Federal Awards			1,938,646		19,283,796
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		\$	1,938,646	\$	946,121,082

# PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS TRIO CLUSTER YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Education				
TRIO - Student Support Services	P047A130363-16	84.042	Bloomsburg	\$ 286,072
TRIO - Student Support Services	PO47A130363-15	84.042	Bloomsburg	7,503
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A151371	84.042	Bloomsburg	268,632
TRIO - Student Support Services	PO2A150118-15	84.042A	California	23,964
TRIO - Student Support Services	PO47A120320-16	84.042A	California	291,020
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A151361	84.042	Cheyney	178,867
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150845-16	84.042	Clarion	324,437
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A100594-15	84.042	East Stroudsburg	1,000
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150879	84.042A	Kutztown	32,750
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150879-16	84.042A	Kutztown	212,769
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150567	84.042	Lock Haven	30,323
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150567-16	84.042	Lock Haven	227,991
TRIO - Student Support Services	PO042A150358	84.042A	Mansfield	232,114
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150401	84.042A	West Chester	23,311
TRIO - Student Support Services	P042A150401	84.042A	West Chester	250,133
Total TRIO - Student Support Services				2,390,886
TRIO - Talent Search	P044A160854	84.044	Cheyney	24,360
TRIO - Talent Search	P044A160305	84.044	Clarion	383,258
Total TRIO - Talent Search				407,618
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A130363-14	84.047	Bloomsburg	11,561
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A130363-15	84.047	Bloomsburg	136,163
TRIO - Upward Bound	PO47A121736-185	84.047A	California	81,893
TRIO - Upward Bound	PO47A120320-15	84.047A	California	66,134
TRIO - Upward Bound	PO47A121736-16	84.047A	California	340,073
TRIO - Upward Bound	PO47A120320-16	84.047A	California	201,665
TRIO - Upward Bound	PO47A121736-14	84.047A	California	8,133
TRIO - Upward Bound	PO47A12320-14	84.047A	California	13,102
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A120666-16	84.047	Clarion	420,474
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A170416	84.047A	East Stroudsburg	23,754
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A121574-16	84.047A	East Stroudsburg	377,866
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A121574-15	84.047A	East Stroudsburg	4,092
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047M120160	84.047M	Indiana	265,287
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A120630	84.047	Lock Haven	81,404
TRIO - Upward Bound	P047A120630-16	84.047	Lock Haven	138,352
Total TRIO - Upward Bound				2,169,953
TRIO - Educational Opportunity Centers	P066A160445	84.066	Cheyney	38,393
TRIO - McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement	P217A120039	84.217A	Indiana	227,286
Total TRIO Cluster				\$ 5,234,136

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture					
Cooperative Forestry Research	16-CS-11420004-254	10.202	Cheyney	\$ -	\$ 404
Forestry Research	14-CS-11091900-017	10.652	Lock Haven	-	3,639
Forestry Research	14-CS-11091900-017	10.652	Lock Haven		6,444
Total Forestry Research					10,083
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
National Fish & Wildlife Foundation	1301B	10.683	Indiana	-	37,870
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	Not Available	10.683	Lock Haven		4,985
Total National Fish and Wildlife Foundation					42,855
Soil and Water Conservation	68-7482-15-501	10.902	Indiana	-	27,687
Soil and Water Conservation	#2004.15.049762	10.902	Indiana	-	30,651
Soil and Water Conservation	68-7482-16-565	10.902	Shippensburg		32,908
Total Soil and Water Conservation					91,246
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture					144,588
U.S. Department of the Interior					
Pass-Through PA Fish and Boat Commission:					
State Wildlife Grants	GR#4100070259	15.634	East Stroudsburg	-	37,106
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
State Wildlife Grants	Coop Agrmnt	15.634	Indiana	-	4,116
State Wildlife Grants	Project #53599	15.663	Indiana		4,904
Total State Wildlife Grants					46,126
National Park Service:					
Natural Resource Stewardship	P16AC00352	15.944	East Stroudsburg		26,848
Total Natural Resource Stewardship					26,848

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/	Grant Number/ Pass-Through	Federal CFDA		Passed Through to	Federal
Pass-Through Grantor	Identifying Number	Number	University	Subrecipients	Expenditures
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Cooperative Research and Training Programs					
Resources of the National Park System	P15AC01239	15.945	Indiana	-	3,388
Cooperative Research and Training Programs					2,222
Resources of the National Park System	P11AC30805	15.945	Indiana	-	13,045
Cooperative Research and Training Programs					
of the National Park System	P16AC01389	15.945	Shippensburg		11,148
Total Cooperative Research and Training Programs					
of the National Park System					27,581
Total U.S. Department of the Interior					100,555
U.S. Department of Justice					
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation,					
and Development Project Grants	2014-R2-CX-K008	16.560	West Chester		28,458
Total U.S. Department of Justice					28,458
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University					
Education	NNX15AK06H	43.001	California		4,348
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration	า				4,348
National Endowment for the Humanities					
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Promotion of the Arts - Grants to Organizations and Individuals	15-4400-7077	45.024	Indiana	-	31
Pass-Through Bucknell University:					
Promotion of the Humanities - Research	RZ-249953-16	45.161	Kutztown		8,234
Total National Endowment for the Humanities					8,265

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
National Science Foundation					
Engineering Grants	CMMI-1436444	47.041	Millersville	-	73,046
Engineering Grants	1559792	47.041	West Chester	-	119,114
Pass-Through Lehigh University					
Engineering Grants	IIP-1601916	47.041	Kutztown		8,606
Total Engineering Grants					200,766
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	PHY-1313871	47.049	Kutztown	-	36,791
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	1555016	47.049	Millersville	-	84,034
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	DMR-1461607	47.049	Clarion		39,308
Total Mathematical and Physical Sciences					160,133
Geosciences	EAR-1220317	47.050	Indiana	-	4,258
Geosciences	1540645	47.050	Indiana	-	145,604
Geosciences	1734023	47.050	Indiana	-	29,877
Geosciences	RUI-1551247	47.050	Slippery Rock	-	17,057
Geosciences	1642643	47.050	Millersville	-	37,074
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Geosciences	EAR-1325333	47.050	Indiana	-	607
Geosciences	1443420	47.050	Indiana	-	2,090
Pass-Through Wilkes University:					
Geosciences	1418010	47.050	West Chester		4,675
Total Geosciences					241,242
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	1632246	47.070	Millersville	-	15,738
Biological Sciences	1457177	47.074	Millersville	-	103,365
Biological Sciences	DEB-1036505	47.074	Millersville	-	329
Biological Sciences	1353085	47.074	Millersville	-	2,322
Biological Sciences	DEB-1406231	47.074	West Chester		59,739
Total Biological Sciences					165,755

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	BCS-1231350	47.075	Slippery Rock	-	5,896
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	BSC-1430754	47.075	Indiana		931
Total Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences					6,827
Education and Human Resources	HRD-1137523	47.076	Cheyney	-	302,896
Education and Human Resources	HRD-1408052	47.076	Cheyney	-	19,548
Education and Human Resources	U0058666	47.076	Cheyney	-	3,000
Education and Human Resources	HRD-1408052	47.076	Cheyney	-	12,433
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1259860	47.076	Indiana	-	120,661
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1241663	47.076	Indiana	-	91,829
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1154006	47.076	Kutztown	-	146,979
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1458118	47.076	Kutztown	-	117,954
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1058829	47.076	Lock Haven	-	50,098
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1136359	47.076	Millersville	-	105,676
Education and Human Resources	1417527	47.076	Millersville	=	78,294
Education and Human Resources	1611652	47.076	Millersville	=	83,828
Education and Human Resources	1154203	47.076	Shippensburg	-	108,176
Education and Human Resources	1245937	47.076	Shippensburg	-	(17,995)
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1245013	47.076	West Chester	-	11,902
Pass-Through Sinclair Community College:					,
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1245496	47.076	Bloomsburg	-	29,291
Pass-Through Concord Consortium:			· ·		
Education and Human Resources	1640088	47.076	Millersville	-	76,505
Pass-Through National Science Foundation:					
Education and Human Resources	1564634	47.076	East Stroudsburg	-	144,717
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1625429	47.076	Indiana	-	176,258
Pass-Through National Girls Collaborative:					
Education and Human Resources	HRD-1532643	47.076	California	-	345
Pass-Through Bryn Mawr College:					
Education and Human Resources	DUE-1660796	47.076	West Chester	-	1,354
Pass-Through Carleton College:					
Education and Human Resources	28-1976-SU	47.076	Shippensburg	-	33,138
Total Education and Human Resources					1,696,887
Office of International Science and Engineering	OISE-1559487	47.079	Kutztown		16,271
Total National Science Foundation					2,503,619

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Environmental Protection Agency					
Pass-Through Chesapeake Conservancy:					
Chesapeake Bay Program	Not Available	66.466	Bloomsburg		33,303
Total Environmental Protection Agency					33,303
U.S. Department of Energy					
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Office of Science Financial	DE-SC0013599	81.049	Indiana		22 176
Assistance Program:	DE-3C0013599	61.049	indiana		32,176
Total U.S. Department o	f Energy				32,176
U.S. Department of Education					
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute: Special Education - Grants to States	Acct# 521 ASN: PT2290390	84.027	Indiana	-	1,453
Pass-Through Columbia University: Education Research - Development and Dissemination	R305A160081	84.305	Bloomsburg	-	29,905
Pass-Through Montgomery County Intermediate Unit 23: Special Education - State Personnel Development	10-2271-215-00-17-068	84.323	Bloomsburg		10,000
Total U.S. Department of Education					41,358
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	1R15DC014566	93.173	West Chester	-	77,639
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute: Rural Access to Emergency Devices Grant and Public Access to Defibrillation					
Demonstration Grant	1-D94RH29275-01-00	93.259	Indiana	-	4,241
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	1R15DA035432-01A1	93.279	Bloomsburg	-	56,652
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:	NAN/:				
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	NNX17AG41G	93.558	Indiana	-	16,311
Pass-Through Clemson University: Biomedical Research and Research Training	2R01GM093937-06A1	93.859	Indiana	_	14,377
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University:	21.01.011.000001.001.1	00.000	malana		17,011
Biomedical Research and Research Training	1R01HD088448-01	93.859	West Chester		27,550
Total Biomedical Research and Research Training					41,927
Total U.S. Department o	f Health and Human Services				196,770

# PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (CONTINUED) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Defense					
Pass-Through University of Virginia:					
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	N00014-14-1-0533	12.300	West Chester	-	146,595
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	N00014-14-1-0533	12.300	West Chester	-	47,077
Pass-Through Lehigh University:					
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	542549-78004	12.300	Kutztown		15,201
Total Basic and Applied Scientific Research					208,873
Pass-Through University of Michigan:					
Military Medical Research and Development	3004058421	12.420	Bloomsburg	-	90,747
Basic Scientific Research	W911NF-14-2-0098	12.431	West Chester	_	91,525
Basic Scientific Research	W911NF-15-2-0105	12.431	Millersville		37,268
Total Basic Scientific Research					128,793
Total U.S. Department of De	efense				428,413
Total Research and Develop	oment Cluster			\$ -	\$ 3,521,853

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture					
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control,					
and Animal Care	15-8242-1887-CA	10.025	Kutztown	-	3,529
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control,					
and Animal Care	16-8242-1887-CA	10.025	Kutztown	<del>-</del>	11,193
Total Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control and Animal Care				<u> </u>	14,722
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Education:					
Child and Adult Care Food Program	300214900	10.558	Shippensburg	-	66,556
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Education:					
Summer Food Service Program for Children	DUE-125496	10.559	Bloomsburg	-	6,413
Summer Food Service Program for Children	Not available	10.559	California	-	9,433
Summer Food Service Program for Children	Not available	10.559	California	=	404
Summer Food Service Program for Children	4-06-16-140-0	10.559	Clarion	=	8,202
Summer Food Service Program for Children	Not available	10.559	Lock Haven		2,139
Total Summer Food Service Program for Children					26,591
Pass-Through U.S. Forest Service: Forestry Research	Not available	10.652	Clarion	-	7,336
Pass-Through University of Pennsylvania:					
Rural Business Enterprise Grants	Not available	10.769	Lock Haven		32,488
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture					147,693
U.S. Department of Commerce					
Investments for Public Works and					
Economic Development Facilities	01-01-14721	11.300	East Stroudsburg	-	10,379
Science, Technology, Business and/or Education Outreach	70NANB17H154	11.620	Millersville		41,474
Education Odifeach	701NAIND1711134	11.020	Milleraville		41,474
Total U.S. Department of Commerce					51,853

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/	Grant Number/ Pass-Through	Federal CFDA		Passed Through to	Federal
Pass-Through Grantor	Identifying Number	Number	University	Subrecipients	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Defense					
Pass-Through Defense Logistics Agency:					
Procurement Technical Assistance					
For Business Firms	SP-4800-14-2-1535	12.002	Indiana	22,604	33,837
Procurement Technical Assistance					
For Business Firms	SP-4800-15-2-1635	12.002	Indiana	61,728	119,568
Procurement Technical Assistance					
For Business Firms	SP-4800-15-1534	12.002	California	-	35,486
Procurement Technical Assistance					
For Business Firms	SP-4800-16-2-1634	12.002	California	-	185,823
Pass-Through University of Pennsylvania PA SBDC:					
Procurement Technical Assistance					
For Business Firms	SP4800-14-2-1536	12.002	Kutztown	-	600
Procurement Technical Assistance					
For Business Firms	SP4800-15-2-1636	12.002	Kutztown		67,090
Total Procurement Technical Assistance for Business Firm	าร			84,332	442,404
Pass-Through Philadelphia Works:					
Economic Adjustment Assistance for					
State Governments	Not available	12.617	Kutztown	-	20,056
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
GenCyber Grants Program	H98230-16-1-0281	12.903	Indiana	<u>-</u>	26,840
GenCyber Grants Program	H982530-17-1-0296	12.903	Indiana	_	1,079
Concysor Clanto Program	11002000 17 1 0200	12.000	malana		1,010
Total Procurement Technical Assistance for Business Firm	ns				27,919
Tatal III C. Depositment of Defence				04.222	400.070
Total U.S. Department of Defense				84,332	490,379
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development					
Pass-Through City of Reading:					
Community Development Block Grants	Not available	14.218	Kutztown	-	10,110
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Health:					
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	4100062910	14.241	Clarion		263,827
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Develop	ment				273,937

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of the Interior	identifying Number	Number	Offiverally	Oubrecipients	Experialitares
<u>=</u>					
Pass-Through Gulf Stream Marine Fisheries Commission:					
Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	F14AB00009	15.608	California	-	10,689
Pass-Through Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission:					
Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	Not Available	15.608	Mansfield		8,419
Total Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance				<u> </u>	19,108
Endangered Species - Candidate Conservation					
Action Funds	F14AP01014-0002-0000	15.660	Edinboro	-	42,325
Endangered Species - Candidate Conservation					
Action Funds	F14AP01014-0003-0000	15.660	Edinboro	<u> </u>	3,070
Total Endangered Species - Candidate					
Conservation Action Funds				_	45,395
					-,
Pass-Through Ducks Unlimited:					
Great Lakes Restoration	0501.15.048842	15.662	California	-	931
Pass-Through California University of Pennsylvania:					
Assistance to State Water Resources					
Research Institutes	G-1415E5021-S-4	15.805	Clarion	-	1,034
Pass-Through American View:					
National Land Remote Sensing - Education					
Outreach and Research	GI4AP00002	15.815	California	_	21,268
	0	10.010	ouo		21,200
National Park Service Conservation, Protection,					
Outreach, and Education	P16AC01542	15.954	Kutztown	-	41,696
Pass-Through National Writing Project:					
National Park Service Conservation, Protection,					
Outreach, and Education	P14AC01553	15.954	West Chester	<del>-</del>	4,800
Total National Park Service Conservation,					
Protection, Outreach, and Education					46,496
Total U.S. Department of the Interior				<del></del>	134,232

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/	Grant Number/ Pass-Through	Federal CFDA		Passed Through to	Federal
Pass-Through Grantor	Identifying Number	Number	University	Subrecipients	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Justice					
Pass-Through PA Commission on Crime and Delinquency:					
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Allocation to States	25661	16.540	Shippensburg		248,195
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency	25001	16.540	Shippensburg	-	240,195
Prevention - Allocation to States	27547	16.540	Shippensburg	_	225,403
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency					,
Prevention - Allocation to States	26551	16.540	Shippensburg	-	73,043
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency					
Prevention - Allocation to States	27531	16.540	Shippensburg		22,059
Total Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Allocation to States				_	568,700
Pass-Through Rutgers University:					
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and					
Development Project Grants	2015-R2-CX-0015	16.560	East Stroudsburg	-	19,028
Pass-Through Office of Violence Against Women:					
Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and	2044 WA AV 0040	40.500	E . O		44.004
Enforcement of Protection Orders Program	2011-WA-AX-0018	16.590	East Stroudsburg		14,681
Total U.S. Department of Justice					602,409
U.S. Department of Labor					
Pass-Through Pennsylvania Department of Labor:					
Trade Adjustment Assistance	TAA0046-14	17.245	Clarion	-	942,066
Trade Adjustment Assistance	Not available	17.245	Mansfield		44,138
Total Trade Adjustment Assistance				<u> </u>	986,204
Consultation Agreements	CS-27086-CS6	17.504	Indiana	-	477,938
Consultation Agreements	CS-28942-CS7	17.504	Indiana		1,284,570
Total Consultation Agreements					1,762,508
Total U.S. Department of Labor					2,748,712
Department of State					
Pass-Through IUP Research Institute:					
Academic Exchange Programs - Teachers	S-ECAGD-15-CA-1018	19.408	Indiana	-	33,341
Academic Exchange Programs - Teachers	S-ECAGD-16-CA-1019	19.408	Indiana		158,051
Total Department of State					191,392

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Transportation	identifying (variber		Chivoloky	Cabicopionio	Exportancios
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Transportation:					
Highway Planning and Construction	M125532000	20.205	California	-	27,825
Highway Planning and Construction	M124239000	20.205	California	-	21,162
Highway Planning and Construction	M124294000	20.205	California	-	223,719
Highway Planning and Construction	M124298000	20.205	California	-	54,220
Highway Planning and Construction	Not Available	20.205	Shippensburg	-	142,417
Highway Planning and Construction	360635	20.205	Cheyney	_	37,109
Highway Planning and Construction	360638	20.205	Cheyney	-	2,280
and the second s	555555	20.200	GGyGy		2,200
Total Highway Planning and Construction					508,732
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Transportation:					
State and Community Highway Safety	MOU-47119	20.600	Indiana	-	88,078
State and Community Highway Safety	MOU-471112	20.600	Indiana	<u> </u>	40,814
Total State and Community Highway Safety				<u>-</u>	128,892
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				<u>-</u>	637,624
National Aeronautics & Space Administration					
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University:					
Education	NNX15AK06H	43.008	West Chester		10,158
Total National Aeronautics & Space Administration					10,158
National Endowment for the Humanities					
Pass-Through Mid Atlantic Arts Foundation:					
Promotion of the Arts - Partnership Agreements	16-6100-2062	45.025	Kutztown	-	5,400
Promotion of the Arts - Partnership Agreements	Not Available	45.025	Millersville	-	2,000
Promotion of the Arts - Partnership Agreements	Not Available	45.025	Millersville		5,000
Total Promotion of the Arts - Partnership Agreements					12,400
Adicements					12,400
Total National Endowment for the Humanities				<u>-</u>	12,400
rotal rational Englishment of the rational					:2,:00

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Small Business Administration					
Pass-Through The University of Pennsylvania:					
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-16-B-0043	59.037	Clarion	-	306,134
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-16-B-0043	59.037	Indiana	-	51,056
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-16-B-0043	59.037	Kutztown	-	156,215
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-16-B-0057	59.037	Kutztown	-	176,663
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-16-B-0043	59.037	Kutztown	-	16,553
Small Business Development Centers	5-71134-E	59.037	Kutztown	-	3,006
Small Business Development Centers	5-68306-M	59.037	Lock Haven	-	70,786
Small Business Development Centers	Not Available	59.037	Lock Haven	-	43,542
Small Business Development Centers	5-68306-M Amend 1	59.037	Lock Haven	-	4,847
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-16-B-0043	59.037	Shippensburg	-	90,634
Small Business Development Centers	SBAHQ-17-B-0057	59.037	Shippensburg		11,452
Total Small Business Development Centers					930,888
Growth Accelerator Fund Competition	Not Available	59.065	Kutztown		13,286
Total U.S. Small Business Administration					944,174
Department of Veterans Affairs					
VA Assistance to United States Paralympic Integrated					
Adaptive Sports Program	2016-ASG-58	64.034	Slippery Rock	-	31,882
VA Assistance to United States Paralympic Integrated					
Adaptive Sports Program	2017-ASG-62	64.034	Slippery Rock		29,518
Total Department of Veterans Affairs					61,400
Environmental Protection Agency					
National Student Design Competition for Sustainability	83677801	66.516	West Chester	-	7,229
Solid Waste Management Assistance Grants	96341701	66.808	West Chester	-	4,852
Environmental Education Grants	NE-963435-01-0	66.951	Slippery Rock		25,623
Total Environmental Protection Agency				-	37,704

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Education					
Pass-Through PA. Dept. of Education:					
Migrant Education - State Grant Program	017-14-0105	84.011	Millersville	-	1,434,632
Migrant Education - State Grant Program	017-15-0105	84.011	Millersville	<u> </u>	1,040,603
Total Migrant Education - State Grant Program					2,475,235
Higher Education - Institutional Aid	P031B070075	84.031	Cheyney	-	946,165
Higher Education - Institutional Aid	P031B100095	84.031B	Cheyney	-	558,490
Higher Education - Institutional Aid	P031B150059	84.031B	Cheyney	<del>-</del>	293,372
Total Higher Education - Institutional Aid				<u> </u>	1,798,027
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Education:					
Career and Technical Education - Basic					
Grants to States	119-16-0003	84.048	Indiana	-	2,777
Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States	119-17-0002	84.048	Indiana	_	1,035,323
Career and Technical Education - Basic	110 11 0002				1,000,000
Grants to States	381-17-0030	84.048	Clarion	<u> </u>	57,954
Total Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States					1,096,054
Migrant Education - College Assistance					
Migrant Program	S149A160028	84.149	Millersville	-	191,244
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Revenue:					
Twenty-First Century Community Learning					
Centers	Not Available	84.287	California	-	3,200
Pass-Through PA. Dept. of Education:					
Special Education - State Personnel					
Development	C601472	84.323	Clarion	-	753
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve					
Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	H325T090007-11	84.325T	California	-	4,569
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve					
Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	H325K120303	84.325K	Slippery Rock	<u> </u>	360,739
Total Special Education - Personnel Development to Im	nprove Services				005 000
and Results for Children with Disabilities					365,308

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Pass-Through PA Higher Education Assistance Agency:					
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness					
for Undergraduate Programs	Not available	84.334	Shippensburg	-	9,786
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness					
for Undergraduate Programs	P334S140007	84.334S	Office of the Chancellor	1,801,770	2,863,980
Total Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness					
for Undergraduate Programs				1,801,770	2,873,766
Childcare Access Means Parents in School	P335A130077	84.335A	Slippery Rock	-	19,681
English Language Acquisition Grants	T365Z120055	84.365	California	-	342,002
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Education:					
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	Not Available	84.366B	Bloomsburg	-	45,970
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	Not Available	84.366B	Bloomsburg	-	43,608
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	25328130 13200	84.366	Clarion		173
Total Mathematics and Science Partnerships					89,751
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	071-130053	84.367	Bloomsburg	-	87,467
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	09-PA12-SEED2012	84.367	Edinboro	-	8,877
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants Pass-Through National Writing Project:	071-130200	84.367	Shippensburg	-	111,142
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	05-PA11-SEED2013	84.367D	East Stroudsburg	-	16,616
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	Not Available	84.367D	Mansfield	-	3,962
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	Not Available	84.367D	Mansfield	-	1,385
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	Not Available 92-PA05-SEED2012	84.367D	Mansfield	-	38,692
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	Amendment 2A	84.367D	West Chester	-	771
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	92-PA05-SEED2016-ILI 92-PA05-SEED2017-	84.367D	West Chester	-	13,111
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	CRWPAI	84.367	West Chester	<u> </u>	2,499
Total Improving Teacher Quality State Grants				<u> </u>	284,522
Transition Programs for Students with Intellectual					
Disabilities into Higher Education	P407A150023	84.407	Millersville	-	63,355
Transition Programs for Students with Intellectual					
Disabilities into Higher Education	P407A150023	84.407	Millersville	<u> </u>	358,776
Total Transition Programs for Students with Intellectual Disabilities into Higher Education				<u> </u>	422,131
Total U.S. Department of Education				1,801,770	9,961,674
					-,,

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Health:					
Environmental Public Health and					
Emergency Repsonse	PO 4300535205	93.070	East Stroudsburg	14,552	33,874
Environmental Public Health and	DO 4200460796	02.070	Foot Stroudoburg	2.000	0.267
Emergency Response	PO 4300469786	93.070	East Stroudsburg	2,898	9,267
Total Environmental Public Health and Emergency Response				17,450	43,141
Dage Through DA Dept of Education					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Education:  Cooperative Agreements to Promote Adolescent					
Health through School-Based HIV/STD					
Prevention and School-Based Surveillance	4100074164	93.079	West Chester	-	26,099
Nurse Anesthetist Traineeships	A22HP29932-01-00	93.124	Bloomsburg	-	15,629
Pass-Through Thomas Jefferson University:					
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services					
Projects of Regional and National Significance	080-29000-Z73601	93.243	Clarion	-	750
Pass-Through Armstrong Indiana Clarion Drug					
and Alcohol Commission:					
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services	Nick A of State	00.040	I. P		0.000
Projects of Regional and National Significance	Not Available	93.243	Indiana		2,000
Total Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services projects of Regional and National Significance				-	2,750
				-	,
Occupational Safety and Health Program	2T03OH008622-10	93.262	Millersville	-	27,544
Pass-Through University of Pittsburgh:					
Alcohol Research Programs	5R01AA023260-02	93.273	Clarion	-	1,000
Pass-Through PHEAA:					
Chafee Education and Training					
Vouchers Program (ETV)	Not available	93.599	Mansfield	-	5,000
Chafee Education and Training					
Vouchers Program (ETV)	Not available	93.599	Mansfield		25,000
Total Chafee Education and Training Vouchers Program	m (ETV)				30,000
Head Start	03CH310643	93.600	Shippensburg	_	3,000
Head Start	03CH010339-01	93.600	Shippensburg	-	818,269
Head Start	03CH010339-02	93.600	Shippensburg	_	114,473
Table 1000			1111111		_
Total Head Start					935,742
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program Pass-Through PHEAA:	410047664	93.674	Bloomsburg	-	30,000
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	Not available	93.674	Lock Haven		25,000
Total Chafee Foster Care Independence Program					55,000

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Doca Through the Commonwealth Medical College:					
Pass-Through the Commonwealth Medical College: Grants for Training in Primary					
Care Medicine and Dentistry	T85HP24464-04-00	93.884	East Stroudsburg	_	1,080
Grants for Training in Primary	10311124404-04-00	93.004	East Stroudsburg	-	1,060
Care Medicine and Dentistry	T85HP24464-05-00	93.884	East Stroudsburg		4,562
Total Grants for Training in Primary Care Medicine and De	ntistry				5,642
Pass-Through PA Department of Health:					
HIV Care Formula Grants	4100062910	93.917	Clarion	-	970,393
Health Resource and Services Administration: Grants to Provide Outpatient Early					
Intervention Services with					
Respect to HIV Disease	H76HA00756	93.918	Clarion	-	410,404
Pass-Through PA Dept. of Health	PO 4300522952	93.945	East Stroudsburg	35,094	176,185
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				52,544	2,699,529
Corporation for National and Community Service					
AmeriCorps	4100074210	94.006	Kutztown	-	5,278
Pass-Through Philadelphia Higher Ed. Network for					
Neighborhood Development					
AmeriCorps	4100074210	94.006	Millersville	-	1,679
AmeriCorps	4100074210	94.006	Millersville	<u> </u>	5,133
Total AmeriCorps				<u> </u>	12,090
Total Corporation for National and Community Service					12,090
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance					
(Presidentially Declared Disasters)	FEMA-4267-DR-PA-000- U287K-00	97.036	Kutztown	_	39,360
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance					
	FEMA-4267-DR-PA-000-				
(Presidentially Declared Disasters)	U287K-00	97.036	Millersville	-	1,604
Pass-Through PA Emergency Management Agency (PEMA):					
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance					
(Presidentially Declared Disasters) Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	FEMA-4267-DR-PA-000- U287K-00	97.036	Shippensburg	-	43,573
(Procidentially Declared Discotors)	FEMA-4267-DR-PA-000- U287K-00	97.036	Office of the Chancellor		2 202
(Presidentially Declared Disasters)	0267K-00	97.036	Office of the Chancellor	-	
Total Disaster Grants - Public Assistance					86,830
Pass-Through PA State System of Higher Education: Disaster Grants - Public Assistance					
(Presidentially Declared Disasters)	FEMA-4267-DR-PA-000- U287K-00	97.047	West Chester		82,524
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security					169,354

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title/ Pass-Through Grantor	Grant Number/ Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal CFDA Number	University	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Other Agencies					
Library of Congress					
Teaching with Primary Sources	GA070061	99.999	California	-	55,931
Pass-through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania					
Delaware Water Gap Bats	N/A	99.999	East Stroudsburg	-	269
Pass-Through Defense Logictics Agency	SP4800-15-2-1534	99.999	Slippery Rock	-	5,000
Pass-Through Defense Logictics Agency	SO4800-16-2-1634	99.999	Slippery Rock	-	15,000
Professional Campus Recruitment Services	PC-15-8-066	99.999	West Chester	-	8,769
Equitable Sharing for State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies	PA0154600	99.999	West Chester	-	6,236
Assignment Agreement	642D66071	99.999	West Chester	-	4,793
Generation and Characterization of Aerosols	212-2017-M-93169	99.999	West Chester		1,084
Total Other Agencies					97,082
Total Other Federal Awards				\$ 1,938,646	\$ 19,283,796

### PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

### (1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "Schedule") represents a summary of federal awards expended by the Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ("the State System"), and its member universities, for the year ended June 30, 2017. For purposes of the Schedule, federal awards include all U.S. government financial assistance, procurement relationships between the State System and its member universities and the federal government, and subawards made under federally sponsored agreements that are received from nonfederal organizations.

The Schedule classifies the expenditures of federal awards into four categories: Student Financial Assistance Cluster, TRIO Cluster, Research and Development Cluster, and Other Federal Awards. Within each category, federal awards have been classified as either direct (awards received directly from a federal agency) or pass through (subawards received from nonfederal organizations that were made under federally sponsored agreements). The State System recognizes expenditures of federal program funds on the accrual basis of accounting. Federal award expenditures shown on the Schedule in parenthesis represent adjustments to disbursements made in prior years.

### (2) RELATIONSHIP TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements. The State System has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

### (3) STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

The following table shows certain information concerning student financial assistance programs detailed by University for the year ended June 30, 2017:

<u>University</u>	Federal <u>Pell</u>		Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity <u>Grant</u>		Federal Work <u>Study</u>		Teacher Education Assistance For College And Higher Education <u>Grant</u>	
Bloomsburg	\$ 12,864,686	\$	238,062	\$	649,988	\$	-	
California	9,893,496		301,238		467,046		45,185	
Cheyney	2,582,870		317,678		190,881		-	
Clarion	7,469,032		146,868		248,617		-	
East Stroudsburg	10,195,638		103,813		275,874		-	
Edinboro	9,192,815		193,550		312,644		112,764	
Indiana	17,551,768		736,991		1,426,757		-	
Kutztown	11,330,868		203,625		280,848		-	
Lock Haven	6,522,843		134,755		201,746		37,280	
Mansfield	4,121,872		93,188		134,647		8,920	
Millersville	8,649,565		197,008		230,588		-	
Shippensburg	8,015,511		151,975		202,911		9,786	
Slippery Rock	10,190,502		190,232		318,203		32,937	
West Chester	15,688,223		311,704		479,744		22,362	
	\$ 134,269,689	\$	3,320,687	\$	5,420,494	\$	269,234	

The above amounts awarded to students include certain administrative allowances.

### (3) STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (CONTINUED)

The State System participates in the following student loan programs: Federal Perkins Loan Program (CFDA No. 84.038); Nursing Student Loan Program (CFDA NO. 93.364); and Federal Direct Loan Program (CFDA No. 84.268), which includes the Federal Stafford Loan Program, the Federal Supplemental Loan for Students Program ("SLS"), and the Federal Parent Loans for Undergraduate Students Program ("PLUS"). Federal Perkins Loans and Nursing Student Loans outstanding at the beginning of the year and loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule. Federal Perkins Loans and Nursing Student Loans awarded are included as part of Federal Perkins Loans and Nursing Student Loans Program on the schedule of expenditures of federal awards. Loans awarded under these programs for the year ended June 30, 2017 are as follows:

<u>University</u>	Federal Perkins Loans Awarded	Nursing Student Loans Awarded	Federal Direct Loans Awarded	
Bloomsburg	\$ 29,949	\$ -	\$ 65,677,509	
California	447,007	-	65,227,618	
Cheyney	-	-	7,486,483	
Clarion	81,862	-	39,184,725	
East Stroudsburg	131,800	-	43,226,613	
Edinboro	389,147	33,700	48,800,113	
Indiana	1,051,723	-	93,033,067	
Kutztown	666,492	-	59,299,401	
Lock Haven	89,500	-	33,659,009	
Mansfield	-	-	16,671,661	
Millersville	227,403	-	48,017,748	
Shippensburg	368,550	-	46,269,631	
Slippery Rock	753,345	-	62,459,814	
West Chester	893,895	20,300	99,953,844	
	\$ 5,130,673	\$ 54,000	\$ 728,967,236	

.

### (3) STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (CONTINUED)

Outstanding loans under the programs administered by the State System as of June 30, 2017 are as follows:

<u>University</u>	0	Federal Perkins Loans utstanding	Nursing Student Loans Outstanding	
Bloomsburg	\$	1,522,586	\$	-
California		2,708,370		-
Cheyney		-		-
Clarion		623,032		-
East Stroudsburg		2,077,955		-
Edinboro		1,872,579		205,354
Indiana		8,051,496		-
Kutztown		3,785,444		-
Lock Haven		931,456		-
Mansfield		1,790,202		-
Millersville		1,275,170		-
Shippensburg		2,896,701		-
Slippery Rock		5,157,868		-
West Chester		7,852,300		239,808
	\$	40,545,159	\$	445,162

### (4) MAJOR PROGRAMS

Major programs are identified on the schedule of findings and questioned costs and totaled approximately \$926,454,000, which is almost 98% of total expenditures of federal awards for the year ended June 30, 2017. This amount includes loans administered under the Federal Direct Loan Program during the year ended June 30, 2017 and loans outstanding as of the beginning of the year and loans awarded during the year ended June 30, 2017 under the Federal Perkins Loan Program and the Nursing Student Loan Program.

### Section I – Summary of Auditors' Results **Financial Statements** Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: \_\_\_\_\_ yes <u>X</u>\_no Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? \_\_\_\_\_ yes <u>X</u>no Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? \_\_\_\_ yes X no **Federal Awards** Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? \_\_\_\_ yes <u>X</u>no Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? <u>X</u> yes \_\_\_\_no Unmodified Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Part 200 of the Uniform Guidance? X\_yes \_\_\_\_no Identification of major programs: Program CFDA# Student Financial Assistance Cluster Various Research and Development Cluster Various Juvenile Justice and Delinguency Prevention 16.540 Trade Adjustment Assistance 17.245 Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for **Undergraduate Programs** 84.334 Transition Programs for Students with Intellectual Disabilities into Higher Education 84.407 Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$841,194 \_\_\_X\_ yes \_\_\_\_\_ no Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

### Section II – Financial Statement Findings

Our audit did not disclose any matters required to be reported in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs

### 2017 - 001

University: Millersville University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: National Science Foundation

Federal program title: Research and Development Cluster

CFDA Number: 47.049 and 47.076

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the testing of indirect expenses for the Millersville University R&D Cluster we noted that two of the five Millersville grants tested were using an incorrect indirect cost rate and an additional Millersville grant that noted indirect costs within the grant documentation did not have any indirect costs charged during the 2016-17 academic year. The indirect cost rates within the accounting system that were used to charge indirect costs to each individual grant were not in agreement with the allowable indirect cost rates in the grant documentation. This error resulted in the University under-charging indirect costs during the 2016-17 academic year by an aggregate amount of \$2,434.

### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations requires if the institution charges indirect costs to Federal awards based on award-specific rate(s) required by a Federal awarding agency, the award-specific rate(s) are the result of special circumstances such as required by law or regulation (2 CFR section 200.414(c)). The Code of Federal Regulations requires also requires the negotiated (or submitted) rate(s) were applied to the appropriate distribution base (2 CFR part 200, Appendix III, paragraph C.2).

### **Questioned Costs:**

N/A

### Cause:

The University incurred turnover in personnel and indirect cost rates were either omitted or entered into the system in error when grants were initiated.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University could potentially incorrectly charge expenditures to the grant and receive reimbursement in excess of allowable costs

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

We recommend that the University implement a review and approval process to ensure the indirect cost rates entered into the system are in agreement with the award documentation.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### 2017 - 002

University: Millersville University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Defense

Federal program title: Research and Development Cluster

CFDA Number: 12.431

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance - Reporting

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the reporting testing for the Millersville University R&D Cluster, it was noted that one of the ten programs tested for reporting requirements failed to submit any quarterly reports during FY17.

### Criteria:

Non-Federal entities may be required to submit performance reports at least annually but not more frequently than quarterly based on grant specific requirements, except in unusual circumstances, using a form or format authorized by OMB (2 CFR section 200.328(b)(1)). They also may be required to submit special reports as required by the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

### **Questioned Costs:**

N/A

#### Cause:

The University incurred turnover in personnel and this compliance requirement was overlooked as a result of the transition.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University could lose or incur a decrease in funding due to noncompliance with award criteria.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

We recommend that each grant agreement is thoroughly reviewed and discussed with the awarding party to ensure all compliance requirements are known and met during the life of the grant.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### **2017 – 003**

University: Cheyney University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.268

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance - Special Tests and Provisions

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the testing of student status change reporting, it was noted that two students of the 40 tested were not in agreement with their student transcripts. The first student's SSN was incorrectly assigned to a different student within NSC and their last enrollment submission was for the Fall 2014 Term, even though they attended subsequently and received Title-IV aid. The second student's NSC enrollment records showed that the Spring 2016 Term was the last record submitted; however, the student attended subsequently with aid and Graduated in Spring 2017. CLA noted that the University sent the correct enrollment records to NSC for this student through the 2016-17 academic year; however, they did not appear on the NSLDS page.

### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations, 34 CFR 685.309 requires that enrollment status changes for students be reported to NSLDS within 30 days or within 60 days if the student with the status change will be reported on a scheduled transmission within 60 days of the change in status. Regulations require the status include an accurate effective date.

### **Questioned Costs:**

N/A

### Cause:

The University's processes and controls did not ensure that student status changes were properly and timely reported to NSLDS.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The NSLDS system is not updated with the student information which can cause over-awarding should the student transfer to another institution. Additionally, this could cause the student to improperly enter the repayment period.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

We recommend the University review its reporting procedures to ensure that students' statuses are accurately and timely reported to NSLDS as required by regulations.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### 2017 - 004

University: Cheyney University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.268

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance - Special Tests and Provisions

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the student financial aid testing performed, the University could not produce documentation that Direct Loan Reconciliations were performed prior to March of 2017 during the 2016-17 award year on timely basis.

### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations, 34 CFR 685.300(b)(5) requires the University on a monthly basis, to reconcile the institutional records with the Direct Loan funds received from the Secretary and the Direct Loan disbursement records submitted to and accepted by the Secretary.

### **Questioned Costs:**

N/A

### Cause:

The University's financial and student records management did not have the appropriate coordination or communication with the outsourced financial aid team to complete the reconciliations and maintain the appropriate documentation. There was turnover in key positions during the year that increased the issues related to this matter. A process was implemented by the University during March of 2017 to perform the reconciliations on a monthly basis going forward.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University is not complying with internal policy and federal requirements to ensure federal funds are properly reconciled.

### Auditors' Recommendation:

The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to monthly reconciliations and maintenance of documentation.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### <u>2017 – 005</u>

University: Cheyney University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.063 and 84.268

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

Compliance – Special Tests and Provisions

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the Return of Title IV testing, it was noted that two of the five student's tested for proper return of Title-IV funding were incorrectly calculated and/or returned. The first student's R2T4 was calculated correctly by the University; however, instead of returning \$494 in PLUS Loans, the University returned \$499. When they noticed the error, they returned an additional \$494 in PLUS Loans instead of making a \$5 adjustment. The second student's calculation was incorrectly performed at 50% attendance when their actual attendance percentage was approximately 10.8%. This resulted in the University returning \$364 in Pell Grants instead of \$649 causing an underpayment of \$285.

### Criteria:

In accordance with 34 CFR 668.22(a)(1), when a recipient of Title IV grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student earned as of the student's withdrawal date.

### **Questioned Costs:**

\$285

### Cause:

The University does not have policies and procedures in place to ensure student awards are properly adjusted based on calculations performed.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University is not returning the proper amounts to the Department based on the calculations performed, which could result in funding decreases.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

We recommend the University review the return of Title IV requirements and implement procedures to ensure award adjustments as determined by the return of Title IV calculations are being properly adjusted to the student's account and the correct amounts are being returned to the Department.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### 2017 - 006

University: Clarion University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.007

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance - Eligibility

Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the eligibility testing, it was noted that one of the three students tested for SEOG was only awarded an \$80 SEOG grant for the 2016-17 academic year, of which \$40 was disbursed to the student. This amount is less than the minimum for SEOG of \$100 and subsequently should have not been awarded.

### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations, 34 CFR 676.20 requires that the minimum FSEOG amount is \$100, which can be prorated if the student is enrolled for less than an academic year.

### **Questioned Costs:**

\$40

#### Cause:

The University's processes and controls did not ensure that student was correctly awarded within the aid limits.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University incorrectly awarding SEOG funds could result in a decrease in the amount of funding the University receives from the Department of Education and the student being required to return the aid received.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to the awarding process and the various award limits.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III - Findings and Questioned Costs - Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### <u>2017 – 007</u>

University: Clarion University of Pennsylvania Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.063

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance – Special Tests and Provisions

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the testing of Return of Title IV aid, it was noted that the funding related to the withdrawal of one of the 24 students tested was returned to the Department of Education past the 45-day limit.

### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations, 34 CFR 668.22 requires that unearned funds must be returned no later than 45 days after the date of the school's determination that the student withdrew.

### **Questioned Costs:**

None.

### Cause:

The University incorrectly returned Title-IV funding in relation to a student's withdrawal outside of 45 days from when the University determined the student withdrew.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University could return incorrect amounts or have funding decreased due to delays in refunding.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to the Return of Title IV funding.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### 2017 - 008

University: Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.063 and 84.268

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance - Special Tests and Provisions

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During the testing of student withdrawals and the return of Title IV funding, it was noted that the University correctly calculated the funds to be returned for one of the 13 students tested; however, did not properly adjust the student's awards based on the calculation performed. Thus, the University did not return the proper amount to the Department of Education.

### Criteria:

In accordance with 34 CFR 668.22(a)(1), when a recipient of Title IV grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student earned as of the student's withdrawal date.

### **Questioned Costs:**

\$3,970

### Cause:

The University does not have policies and procedures in place to ensure student awards are properly adjusted based on calculations performed.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University is not returning the proper amounts to the Department based on the calculations performed, which could result in funding decreases.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

We recommend the University review the return of Title IV requirements and implement procedures to ensure award adjustments as determined by the return of Title IV calculations are being properly adjusted to the student's account and the correct amounts are being returned to the Department.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### Section III - Findings and Questioned Costs - Major Federal Programs (Continued)

### **2017 – 009**

University: East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania

Federal agency: Department of Education

Federal program title: Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA Number: 84.268

Award Period: July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

Type of Finding:

• Compliance - Eligibility

• Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance

### **Condition and Context:**

During our testing of student financial aid eligibility, it was noted that two out of the 25 students tested who were awarded Stafford Loans had an error in their grade level classification and dependency status. These errors resulted in over awarding of \$1,000 and \$1,589.

### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations, 34 CFR 685.203, requires there to be direct subsidized and unsubsidized annual loan limits, based on the student's dependency status and grade level.

### **Questioned Costs:**

\$2,589

### Cause:

The University did not review and compare the student's Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) grade level status to the student's transcript.

### **Possible Asserted Effect:**

The University over awarded the two students by an aggregate of \$2,589 and could be required to return aid disbursed in error.

### **Auditors' Recommendation:**

The University should ensure all FAFSA grade level classifications are reviewed and compared to the student's transcripts to ensure proper awarding.

### **Corrective Action Plan:**

### **APPENDIX A**

### Millersville University

P.O. Box 1002 Millersville, PA 17551-0302 www.millersville.edu

> Office of Accounting Phone: 717-871-4094 Fax: 717-871-7829

### MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

National Science Foundation U.S. Department of Defense

Millersville University of Pennsylvania respectfully submits the following corrective action plan for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the schedule.

#### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None noted

#### FINDINGS—FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

National Science Foundation

### 2017-001 Research and Development Cluster – CFDA No. 47.049 and 47.076

Recommendation: We recommend that the University implement an approval process to ensure the indirect cost rates entered into the system are in agreement with the award documentation.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: We are implementing an additional step in the review of the journal entry to post the indirect costs which is prepared by a fiscal technician. Each grant accountant will review the details of the indirect cost postings at least quarterly to verify the correct amounts were posted for each grant. The grant accountant will verify the rate used, the expenses to which the rate can be applied and the fact that the indirect costs were posted.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Debra Ordway

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: March 31, 2018

### U.S. Department of Defense

### 2017-002 Research and Development Cluster – CFDA No. 12.431

Recommendation: We recommend that each grant agreement is thoroughly reviewed and discussed with the awarding party to ensure all compliance requirements are known and met during the life of the grant.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: As part of our internal grant tracking, we are implementing an addition step to verify that financial and program reports are submitted as required by the grant. Any program reports not submitted will be brought to the attention of the Office of Sponsored Research and the appropriate Dean.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Debra Ordway

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: March 31, 2018

If the National Science Foundation or the U.S. Department of Defense has questions regarding this plan, please call Debra Ordway at 717-871-4088.

Cheyney, PA 19319-0100



### CHEYNEY UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

### U.S. Department of Education

Cheyney University of Pennsylvania respectfully submits the following corrective action plan for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the schedule.

### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None noted

### FINDINGS—FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

U.S. Department of Education

### 2017-003 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.268

Recommendation: We recommend the University review its reporting procedures to ensure that students' statuses are accurately and timely reported to NSLDS as required by regulations.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: Cheyney University submitted both students in question to the National Student Clearinghouse which in turn was to submit the enrollment information to the NSLDS. One student had a SSN error at NSC and the other student was not forwarded to NSLDS. The University recognizes it is responsible for ensuring that data provided to NSC is ultimately transmitted and updated in NSLDS. The Director of Integrated Data Systems and the Registrar will create an NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Summary Report which can be run after each submission to NSC to ensure the data is accurate in NSLDS.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Susan Chesterton, Executive Director, Academic and Administrative Services

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: April 2018

#### 2017-004 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.268

Recommendation: The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to monthly reconciliations and maintenance of documentation.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: Cheyney University began the process of completing monthly reconciliations in March of 2017. The Financial Aid Office (FAO) coordinates the monthly internal reconciliation of all federal Title IV aid programs. Internal reconciliation compare the Bursar's Office funds disbursed to student accounts to FAO funds awarded to students (FSA HB, July 2017, p 102). This automated process reconciles internal systems, with respect to PowerFAIDS, where financial aid awards are posted and Power Campus, where award amounts are disbursed to student accounts, before performing external reconciliation with COD and G5 systems. The reconciliation process has been automated and can be run on demand. An exception report is generated through Reporting Services indicating any awards that are not in balance. The FAO collaborates with the Bursar's Office to review and reconcile any discrepancies.

Under HCM2, the Department of Education Payment Analyst first approves funding, releases the funds into our bank account, and then updates the G5 account to reflect cash received by Cheyney. The internal reconciled report is then used to reconcile externally with the response files from COD and the letter received from the Department of Education detailing the accepted/rejected files. If a file rejected, the error(s) are corrected before resending the file to COD.

Additionally, Cheyney University has an updated Policies and Procedures manual, which includes the reconciliation process. Staff training occurred for all individuals responsible for reconciliation to ensure they were aware of the requirements

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Georgia Prell, Executive Director of Enrollment Management

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: March 2017 for monthly reconciliation and staff training occurred on 2/1/2018.

#### 2017-005 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.063 and 84.268

Recommendation: The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to the Return of Title IV funding.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: Both of the instances stated in the finding were a result of human error in the R2T4 calculations that caused one student to have too much money returned and another to have too little. Cheyney University understands

their fiduciary responsibility to manage the financial aid funds in accordance with all Title IV requirements. The updated Policies and Procedures Manual has an entire section detailing all of the requirements for students who withdraw (officially or unofficially) as it pertains to R2T4. In addition to the manual staff were trained on the policies and procedure. In an effort to ensure the accuracy of the R2T4's, the Financial Aid Office will provide a secondary check to ensure the calculations and monies being returned to Federal Title IV programs are accurate.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Georgia Prell, Executive Director of Enrollment Management

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: Policies and Procedures Manual completed December 2017 and R2T4 training completed February 2018. The secondary check for calculation accuracy will begin March 2018.

If the U.S. Department of Education has questions regarding this plan, please call Mrs. Georgia Prell, Executive Director of Enrollment Management at 610 399-2141.



Clarion University 840 Wood Street Clarion, Pennsylvania 16214-1232 Phone: 814-393-2000 Text Telephone (TTY/TDD): 814-393-1601 WWW.CLARION.EDU

### CLARION UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

U.S. Department of Education

Clarion University of Pennsylvania respectfully submits the following corrective action plan for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the schedule.

### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None noted

### FINDINGS—FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

U.S. Department of Education

### 2017-006 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.007

Recommendation: The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to the awarding process and the various award limits.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: The University's controls were modified to require a minimum SEOG grant award \$100 per academic year. Student Financial Services staff have reviewed all SEOG grants for both 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 to assure all recipients were awarded within the appropriate aid limits. Weekly staff meetings have been implemented for staff to update or review the University's policies and Federal aid requirements. Staff members now participate in training opportunities as they become available.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Sue Bloom, Director of Student Financial Services.

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: Completed February, 2018.

### 2017-007 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.063

Recommendation: The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to the Return of Title IV funding.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: Financial Aid Services was contracted to perform the Return of Title IV funds for the 2017-2018 academic year to assist in processing while University staff completed training. One staff member recently completed the NASFAA Return of Title IV funds course and has successfully completed the NASFAA examination to receive the certificate of completion. This is the second staff member to complete this training. In addition, weekly staff meetings have been implemented to update or review the University's policies and Federal aid requirements with the staff. Staff members now participate in training opportunities as they become available.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Sue Bloom, Director of Student Financial Services.

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: March 12, 2018

If the U.S. Department of Education has questions regarding this plan, please call Sue Bloom at (814) 393-2335.



### LOCK HAVEN UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

U.S. Department of Education

Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania respectfully submits the following corrective action plan for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the schedule.

### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None noted

#### FINDINGS—FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

U.S. Department of Education

### 2017-008 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.063 and 84.268

Recommendation: The University should ensure all necessary employees receive proper training, support, and time to follow the University's policies and federal requirements related to the Return of Title IV funding.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: [The initial action taken was that the Direct PLUS Loan was returned based on the Return to Title IV (R2T4) calculation. Since the R2T4 functionality is not currently setup in the SIM (student information system), all R2T4 calculations are currently done using Federal Student Aid's FAA Access to CPS site. The completed R2T4 form is then distributed to various staff who manage the programs of which funds need to be returned. This specific finding was an isolated incident where the loan processor simply missed seeing the Direct PLUS Loan on the R2T4 calculation. The immediate action taken after returning the funds was for the Director of Financial Aid, who performs the R2T4 calculations, to copy the calculations and continually monitor the student's account until all required refunds have been accurately made and reflected on the student's account card in Bursar Query. The long range plan is to setup and utilize the R2T4 functionality within the SIM (CARS/Jenzabar). Once this is setup and properly running, the SIM will automatically make the

adjustments to Federal Student Aid funding based on the results as reflected on the R2T4 calculation.].

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: [Bob Fryer]

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: [The immediate phase has was already instituted after the finding was reported to the LHU Director of Financial Aid. As for the SIM setup, it is hopeful that it will be implemented during the 2018-19 award year.]

If the U.S. Department of Education has questions regarding this plan, please call [Bob Fryer] at [(570) 484-2452].



### EAST STROUDSBURG UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

### U.S. Department of Education

East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania respectfully submits the following corrective action plan for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the schedule.

#### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None noted

### FINDINGS—FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

### U.S. Department of Education

### 2017-009 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.268

Recommendation: The University should ensure all FAFSA grade level classifications are reviewed and compared to the student's transcripts to ensure proper awarding.

Explanation of disagreement with audit finding: There is no disagreement with the audit finding.

Action taken in response to finding: The University will continue to auto originate loans based on the class level that is self-reported by the student on the FAFSA. However, the Direct Loan coordinator will begin generating weekly reports to compare award offered/accepted or originated to the student's "class" in Banner, the student information system, to ensure that the student's class matches allocated amounts.

Name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action: Aristalia Benitez/Jwana Counts

Planned completion date for corrective action plan: March 26, 2017

If the U.S. Department of Education has questions regarding this plan, please call Aristalia Benitez at 570-422-2828.



### PENNSYLVANIA'S STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION **SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS** YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

### U.S Department of Education

Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education respectfully submits the following summary schedule of prior audit findings for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the prior audit's schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the prior year.

### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT None

### FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

U.S. Department of Education

2016-001

Student Financial Assistance Cluster - CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, and 84.379

**Condition**: During our student financial aid testing, it was noted that the National Student Loan Data Systems (NSLDS) rosters returned yielded error reports that were not corrected and resubmitted within the required 10 days.

**Status**: Corrected. Controls have been implemented to monitor and timely correct errors.

If the U.S. Department of Education has questions regarding this plan, please call Annette K. Mathes, Controller, at 717-720-4124.









2986 N. Second Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110-1201 717.720.4000 www.passhe.edu



















### CHEYNEY UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

### U.S Department of Education

Cheyney University of Pennsylvania respectfully submits the following summary schedule of prior audit findings for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Audit period: July 01, 2016 - June 30, 2017

The findings from the prior audit's schedule of findings and questioned costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the prior year.

### FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None

#### FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDITS

U.S. Department of Education

### 2016-002 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.063, 84.268, and 84.379

**Condition**: During the testing of student withdrawals and the return of Title IV funding, it was noted that the University was unable to provide authorization for the disbursement of the Title IV grant funds for one of the two students tested who were eligible for a Title IV grant funding disbursement based on the return of Title IV calculations.

**Status**: Corrected. Controls have been implemented to monitor and timely correct errors.

### 2016-003 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.063, 84.268, and 84.379

**Condition**: During our testing of student status change reporting, it was noted that 21 of the 40 students tested did not have their status change reported to NSLDS within the required 30 day timeframe. The 21 students who had their status change reported late were related to graduations within the 2015-16 award year.

**Status**: It was noted during the student financial aid testing performed for the 2016-17 award year, two of the 40 student NSLDS status submissions tested were not in agreement to the student transcripts. This will be reported as a finding for the University within the 2016-17 Audit Report (2017-003).

### 2016-004 Student Financial Assistance Cluster – CFDA No. 84.268

**Condition**: During the student financial aid testing performed, the University could not produce documentation that Direct Loan Reconciliations were being performed during the 2015-16 award year. Spreadsheets that were supplied to us in support of certain disbursement records selected did not agree to the amount of the drawdowns on the G5 and the University was unable to explain the differences.

**Status**: It was noted during the student financial aid testing performed for the 2016-17 audit, the University could not produce documentation that Direct Loan Reconciliations were being performed prior to March of 2017. This will be reported as a finding for the University within the 2016-17 Audit Report (2017-004).

If the U.S. Department of Education has questions regarding this plan, please call Ms. Georgia Prell, Executive Director of Enrollment Management at 610 399-2141.